

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_110657
Title of the Manuscript:	Medical and Medication errors incidence and its relation to psychological stressors among nurses in Jeddah
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- the subsections in the manuscript could be reorganized to improve the flow and clarity of the content. Consider grouping related information together and creating subsections that are more focused and concise.</p> <p>2- Revise the title for clarity and accuracy The current title of the article is very general and does not provide a clear indication of the specific focus of the research. Consider revising the title to be more specific and accurately reflect the content of the article.</p> <p>3- Provide more details in the abstract The abstract should provide a concise summary of the main points of the article. Consider providing more details about the specific methods used in the study, the key findings, and the implications of the research.</p> <p>4- Ensure the scientific accuracy of the manuscript Review the manuscript to ensure that the information presented is scientifically accurate. Check for any inconsistencies, errors, or unsupported claims that may undermine the credibility of the study.</p> <p>5- The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications, with clear and concise writing that effectively conveys the information.</p> <p>6- yes. he references are updates.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The article uses appropriate terminology and scientific language to discuss the topic of medical errors and their impact on patient safety. The references provided in the article support the information presented and contribute to the scholarly nature of the manuscript. The article demonstrates a good understanding of the subject matter and effectively communicates the research findings and implications. Overall, the language and English quality of the article meet the standards expected for scholarly communications, ensuring effective communication of the research to the intended audience. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elahe Amouzadeh
Department, University & Country	UMSHA, Iran