

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_110615
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF COOPERATIVE RISKS IN EASTERN VISAYAS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript presents a valuable contribution to the field of risk management in cooperatives. It provides empirical evidence on risk exposure in a specific region and offers practical tools like a risk management manual, making it relevant for both researchers and practitioners.</p> <p>2. The title is somewhat suitable but could be improved by including more specific information about the research focus and outcome.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive, highlighting the specific findings (top 5 risks), limitations, theoretical grounding, and recommendations.</p> <p>4. The manuscript's subsections and structure are appropriate for the research design and objectives.</p> <p>5. The methodology and data analysis employed in the manuscript are sound and scientifically rigorous.</p> <p>6. The provided references appear to be relevant and sufficient to support the research focus.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Figure 1 appears to have formatting issues that affect readability. Some text overlaps, making it impossible to decipher the information being conveyed. Please consider adjusting the figure layout or font size to ensure all content is clearly visible and accessible.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Toliva Solomon Iwutung
Department, University & Country	Wang Yanan Institute for Studies in Economics, Xiamen University, China