

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_110733
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of soil amendments and nutrient management on growth and growth attributes of tannia (<i>Xanthosoma sagittifolium</i> (L.) Schott) in the South-Central Laterites (AEU 9) of Kerala, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The results of this research provide new data on the cultivation of the <i>Xanthosoma sagittifolium</i> species.</p> <p>The title of the article is suggestive and reflects the purpose of the research undertaken. Keyword are relevant for the topic of the paper.</p> <p>The summary complies with the conditions of the publisher for editing the works and briefly presents the importance of the plant under study, the main purpose of the research which is to identify the effect of soil amendments and nutrient management on growth attributes and growth of Tannia.</p> <p>The introduction part is fulfilled with information about the spread and requirements of the plant in relation to climate and soil conditions and is related to some scientific papers from the literature, representative of the subject. The results presented correspond with other experiments.</p> <p>There are 29 references, good chosen and representative for the topic of the work, but not from more recent research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is appropriate.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is well written, but I think the research should be continued in the future to be as accurate as possible. I recommend: to check the arrangement of the text on the page, the distance between lines, the graphics to be the same size, centered and the writing the same size. Please include other bibliographic sources from the last years.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Felicia Chețan
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research and Development Station, Romania