

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_110266
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF LIQUID ORGANIC FERTILIZER PAITAN LEAF EXTRACT (Tithonia diversivolia) ON THE GROWTH AND YIELDS OF SOYBEAN PLANT (Glycine max (L.) Merrill) ON RAINFED LAND
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, this manuscript is for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Title is suitable. But, It can be minimized (Shorten it, if possible).</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>However, data value of significant parameters could be added in brackets, wherever applicable.</p> <p>Name of state can be included in abstract/introduction</p> <p>4. Subsection and structure of the manuscript is proper, well written and appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes. Minor changes in reference section should be done. For example, (1)Suyani (2016) was found in reference section but not anywhere in manuscript. (2) Syaifudin et al (2019) in reference section, whereas in manuscript it is 2018. So, rechecking is required for references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, English language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. Minor grammatical corrections should be made.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>C.D. (Critical Difference), CV (Coefficient of Variation), S.Em. (Standard Error of Mean), at par values for significant data could be added.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harsh S. Hathi
Department, University & Country	Navsari Agricultural University, India