

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109296
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioremediation and accelerated phytoremediation of a soil impacted by a hydrocarbons mixture.
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes title suitable as per work conducted Yes</p> <p>Yes, it can be structure more. Yes</p> <p>Manuscript lacking latest references, authors should add latest one.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Its interesting review article in the field of bioremediation. But some improvements are possible and would be helpful and it should be accepted with significant changes. Introduction is too brief and it contains entirely old references. Introduction to ensure it is clear to the reader what is new in the research and advancing the state of the art. Simultaneously, it needs to be made clear that the research does not cover only previously known information, and the knowledge gap needs to be clearly addressed. The use of English needs to be improved throughout the paper, both to ensure that the content can be understood by reviewers and readers, and to make the paper more professional and of archival journal quality. In result and discussion, start with paragraph writing and shift the table there after it and work on it. In discussion part elaborate research with some latest references. In conclusion part, write down concluding remarks including result main points and future perspectives too. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Improve Language</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kulvinder Bajwa
Department, University & Country	University School for Graduates Studies, Chaudhary Devi Lal University, India