

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_110509
Title of the Manuscript:	Atherogenic lipid profile of umbilical cord blood of neonates of gestational diabetic mother
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. No. I suggest the title to be; "Atherogenic lipid profile of umbilical cord blood of neonates of gestational diabetic mother: a case-control study"</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. NO! None of the references is a recent one (as in within the last 10 years), in fact there is one that is as old as almost 70 years. Things might have changed and changed since then! So, the author needs to review the literature adequately and cites the most recent ones.</p> <p>7. Author needs to be using single reference for multiple components of the research</p> <p>8. Should always try and discuss different findings</p> <p>9. All references should be fully cited according to the Vancouver referencing system</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The author(s) need to pay attention some certain obstetrics terminologies and their differences.g fetus, neonate, baby, infants etc and use them appropriately!</p> <p>2. However, the study is a scientifically sound</p> <p>3. The conclusion was logical</p> <p>4. And the recommendations are appropriates</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibrahim, Umar Augie
Department, University & Country	Federal Medical Centre, Federal University, Nigeria