

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_110529
Title of the Manuscript:	PRECLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF WOUND HEALING AND ANTI-INFLAMMATORY ACTIVITIES OF EICHHORNIA CRASSIPES IN WISTAR ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript shows a informative,scientific data collected but some major corrections need to be addressed before it can be published.</p> <p>2. Title is suitable for this article</p> <p>3. Included comprehensively</p> <p>4. Yes, appropriate</p> <p>5. Need to discuss data with more scientific evidence and "need for the study"</p> <p>6. Discussion section needs improvement. Discuss all the study parameters in comparison to previous study reports and cite more recent references to support your study findings. - All references must match journal specifications. - Use appropriate sentence and found incomplete study design in abstract section</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Suitable english used in this article</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Need to discuss with more comparisions with previous study has done already</p> <p>2. Author need to address the following comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Plant authentication/specimen voucher number not mentioned - Not mentioned number of animals used in each group/total number of animals. - What measurements has taken for consideration during Acute toxicity studies and not mentioned gender and number of animals used for Acute toxicity studies. - Is this methodology new / modified with previous / any reference - The doses of MEEC is mentioned wrongly in tables section or if treated with same doses give reason. - Being a methonolic extract compound of test drug, did not cause any irritation at wound site. - Conclusion section must be clear and concise - Justification about doses(not mentioned) in conclusion part. - To prepare MEEC gel, what components are used as vehicle and base. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Byna Jayachandrababu
Department, University & Country	Ananta Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, India