

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_110428
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Moderation of Capital Structure in Increasing Company Value
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes it is. The use of the moderation model adds a new perspective to the common impact/effect relationship between dependent and independent variable.</p> <p>No it is not. I suggest, 'The Moderation Role of Capital Structure in Increasing Company Value</p> <p>No it is not. I am of the opinion that 'Population/Sample and scope of study' should replace place and duration of study</p> <p>Yes they are.</p> <p>Yes it is.</p> <p>Yes they are.</p> <p>There's need for author to check grammar and sentence construction in the entire work. The main heading and Sub-headings under section two which is supposed to be the literature review need clarification. Referring to that section as 'Study libraries' is not conventional and may be misleading. Why not use Review of related studies. In addition, in the sub-headings, the author is using influence and effect interchangeably, I suggest he/she stick to one or replace both with 'relationship' which is general. Under Research Methods, I suggest variable description and measurement instead of 'information'.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No it is not.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There is need to check the sentence construction in the entire work.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oluwatosin Juliana Oyetayo
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria