

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_110620
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship Between Behavior and Maternal Education and the Prevalence of Wasting Toddlers in Meureubo and Kaway Xvi Districts, West Aceh Regency
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Yes, the manuscript tackles an important element among the factors that lead to high levels of wasting in developing countries; which is low KAP among care givers. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) The title is not suitable for the data presented in the article. I don't see a case for a relationship analysis, so maybe they look at the level of education, knowledge, attitudes and practices of mothers of children presenting with wasting in----- Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? No, the writing of the abstract is incoherent and hard to understand. Further, it is based on incorrect analysis and unfounded conclusions. The arguments are confusing and the analysis did not meet the below assumptions of a chi-square data. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Random Sampling: The data is collected using a random sampling method. Independence: Observations are independent of each other. Expected Cell Frequencies: The expected frequencies for each cell should be greater than Large Enough Samples: In the case of small sample sizes, it is advised to use Fisher's exact test instead, as it is more accurate. Categorical Variables: The variables are measured on a nominal or ordinal scale. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? No, some sections are very shallow and does not provide the required depth of information. For example, the definition of attitude, knowledge and practices is not clear throughout. Further, some sections are just one sentence paragraphs which is uncalled for. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? No, not in its current shape. See more comments provided in the manuscript. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. The reference are somewhat sufficient, but not well interrogated and presented to make the case that authors try to argue. However, there are a number of passages that are missing references. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>No, Article is badly written and needs a lot of rewriting and proofreading. There is a lot of grammatical errors; almost in every sentence. Most importantly, the authors picked a wrong analysis framework, versus the nature of study and data available.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mzondi Ziba
Department, University & Country	Malawi