

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_110190
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Study on Paddy Market Trends, Stability, and Predictive Models for Agricultural Revenue Enhancement
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, this topic has its unique importance in research field. The selection of study area, sample size and econometric techniques are appropriate. Introduction of this study is too much short. Introduction should contain at least 4 to 5 paragraphs. You did not mention your research gaps appropriately. Focus to rewrite introduction and review of literature. Objectives have not good worth to fetch the real outcomes of this study. Some changes are required in research title as "A Critical and Comprehensive Study on Paddy Market Trends, Predictive Models, and Stability for Agricultural Revenue Enhancement: A Mode of Sustainable Development". Abstract of this study needs some improvement in terms of arrangement of material. Yes, they are acceptable to some extent. There is some need to improve the writing skill of this article. References are sufficient but there is a dire need to add latest studies in your research. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Not at all. Put your more focus on paper quality to compete the scientific community.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Conclusions and Policy Implications are totally missed from this study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Sarmad Mushtaq
Department, University & Country	Institute of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Agriculture, Pakistan