

Assessment of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*L.) performance for yield and quality production under natural farming system in gird region of Madhya Pradesh

**GENERAL COMMENT**

**#1- The manuscript lacks citation in the introduction part; it needs serious revision.**

**#2- Result and Discussion;**

- **It presented and discussed in shallow**
- **It lacks comparation/support of other related finding**
- **Thus, the result and discussion part need serious and detail revision by supporting the finding with other finding.**
- **In the tabulated(table) data**
  - Mean varion is not indicated; thus mean of the treatment need to indicate significance variation by writing letters (a,b,c,ab,bc, as per signi..) by superscripting letters, as I tried to indicate in Table 1 and 2.**

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 14 pt, Bold

**Formatted:** List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

**Formatted:** List Paragraph, Justified

**Formatted:** Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 14 pt, Bold

**Abstract**

**Abstract lacks:**

- Objective of the study,**
- Data analysis method, and**
- final recommendation**

**Formatted:** Highlight

The present study was conducted at ICAR-CentralPotatoResearchInstitute- RS, research farm Gwalior during winter (*Rabi*) season of 2022-2023. The experiment was laid out using Randomized Design with treatments T<sub>1</sub>: Control, T<sub>2</sub>: Inorganic practices (standard technology), T<sub>3</sub>: NADEP compost @ 25 t/ha + *Azotobacter*@ 1L/ha + PSB @ 1L/ha, T<sub>4</sub>: T<sub>3</sub>+ FYM @ 25 t/ha, T<sub>5</sub>: T<sub>3</sub>+Vermicompost @ 7.5 t/ha, T<sub>6</sub>: T<sub>3</sub>+ neem cake @ 5 t/ha + foliar spray of copper oxychloride @ 3 g/L (for management of foliar diseases), T<sub>7</sub>: Integrated practice

[90% RDF through inorganic sources {urea, SSP, MOP}, 10% RDF through organic sources i.e., FYM @ 25 t/ha. The findings of results reveals that maximum number of tubers (135.03, 144.598 and 245.344) for grade 25-50 g, 50-75 g and >75 g respectively, highest number of tubers per ha(591286 at harvest), maximum yield of tubers (6.75, 10.844 and 18.40 t/ha) for grade 25-50 g, 50-75 g and >75 g respectively,highest yield of tubers (37.665 t/ha), highest true density of tubers (1.123 g/cc),highest tuber dry matter (17.173%) and highest starch content (14.313%) were recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>. While, the maximum number of tubers (129.08) for grade (0-25 g) and, maximum yield of tubers (3.2098 t/ha) for grade (0-25 g)was found in control plot.

**Keywords: mandatory.**

Formatted: Highlight

## Introduction

**Introduction lacks evidence-based narration, or it lacks source(finding).**

Formatted: Highlight

In recent years, the global agricultural landscape has witnessed a paradigm shift towards sustainable and eco-friendly farming practices, with a growing emphasis on natural farming ~~systems~~systems put Citation. As the demand for food continues to rise in tandem with population growth, there is an increasing need to explore alternative agricultural approaches that prioritize environmental stewardship and minimize the ecological footprint of food production. In this context, the cultivation of staple crops, such as potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), under natural farming systems has emerged as a subject of considerable interest and significance.

Potatoes are a vital component of the world's food supply, providing essential nutrients and calories to millions of ~~people~~people put -Citation However, traditional farming methods often involve the use of synthetic fertilizers, pesticides, and other agrochemicals, which can have adverse effects on soil health, biodiversity, and overall ecosystem resilience. Recognizing these challenges, natural farming systems, characterized by a holistic and sustainable approach, offer a promising alternative for potato cultivation.

This study ~~will contribute~~was contribute to the existing body of knowledge by shedding light on the practical implications of transitioning from conventional to natural

farming systems in the context of potato production. As global agriculture faces the dual challenges of ensuring food security and mitigating environmental degradation, understanding the nuances of sustainable farming practices becomes paramount. The findings of this research can inform policymakers, farmers, and researchers alike, guiding the development of strategies that promote a resilient and sustainable future for potato agriculture.

## Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried in the ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute-RS, research farm in Gwalior which is located at 26°13' North latitude, 78°14' East longitude and 206 meters above mean sea level in the North tract of M.P. Gwalior's climate is subtropical, with summer temperatures reaching up to 48°C and minimum temperature as low as 4.0°C during the winter season. The annual rainfall ranges between 750 and 800 mm, with the majority falling between the end of June and end of September, with only a few showers in the winter months. Mean monthly meteorological data (maximum and minimum temperature, relative humidity, evaporation and precipitation) were collected at the Meteorological Observatory-College of Agriculture, Gwalior during the crop growth season. According to the data the total rainfall received during the crop growth period was 17.4 mm during the crop growing period the average maximum and lowest temperature were 28°C and 10°C, respectively. The relative humidity ranged from 37.2% to 73.4%.

### Treatment details

T<sub>1</sub>: Control, T<sub>2</sub>: Inorganic practices (standard technology), T<sub>3</sub>: NADEP compost @ 25 t/ha + *Azotobacter* @ 1L/ha + PSB @ 1L/ha, T<sub>4</sub>: T<sub>3</sub>+ FYM @ 25 t/ha, T<sub>5</sub>: T<sub>3</sub>+ Vermicompost @ 7.5 t/ha, T<sub>6</sub>: T<sub>3</sub>+ neem cake @ 5 t/ha + foliar spray of copper oxychloride @ 3 g/L (for management of foliar diseases), T<sub>7</sub>: Integrated practice [90% RDF through inorganic sources {urea, SSP, MOP}, 10% RDF through organic sources i.e., FYM @ 25 t/ha.

### Observations taken Data Collected:

Formatted: Highlight

#### 1. Yield of tubers (t/ha) in each grade (<25g, 25-50g, 50-75g and >75)

The yield of tubers per plot was calculated using an electronic weighing scale for each grade (25g, 25-50g, 50-75g, >75, and total potato).

#### 2. Number of tubers (per plot and per ha) in each grade (<25g, 25-50g, 50-75g and >75)

The number of tubers per plot was counted manually for each grade (<25g, 25-50g, 50-75g, >75,) and converted in per ha area.

### 3. Total tuber yield (t/ha).

Total fresh tuber yield (kg/plot) is taken at harvest in individual (net plot) plots and was converted to t/ha.

### 4. True density of potato

The toluene displacement technique was used to measure the volume and actual density of fresh potato tubers. Toluene was chosen since it is less absorbed by the sample. The real density was determined using the volume of toluene displaced and the mass of the sample, as stated by Mohsenin (1986).

$$\text{True density (g/cm}^3\text{)} = \frac{\text{Weight of tuber in air}}{\text{Weight of tuber in air} - \text{weight of tuber in water}}$$

### 5. Tuber dry matter content (%)

100g of sample was obtained and pre-dried at 60°C for 15 hours before being dried for 3 hours at 105°C in a drying oven (Houghland, 1966). It was estimated as follows:

$$\text{Tuber dry matter content (\%)} = \frac{\text{Dry weight}}{\text{Fresh weight}} \times 100$$

### 6. Starch content in tuber (%)

A dry sample weighing 0.1 to 0.5 g was homogenized in hot 80% ethanol before centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 20 minutes to assess the starch content. The residue was then treated with 5 ml of water and 6.5 ml of perchloric acid and chilled at 0 C for 20 minutes. The residue was centrifuged again, and the supernatant was kept for further investigation. The final volume was increased to 100 ml and diluted in a 1:5 ratio with distilled water. Each test tube received 4 cc of enthrone reagent and was cooked in a boiling water bath for roughly 8 minutes. The contents were quickly cooled, and the intensity of green to dark green was measured using a UV visible spectrophotometer.

$$\text{Starch (\%)} = \text{Glucose content} \times 0.95$$

## Data Analysis:

The recorded data was ~~analysed~~analyzed using OP STAT statistical software was used for one-way ANOVA at  $p < 0.05$  (student t-test). Origin 2017 software was used for charting.

## Results and Discussion

### 1 Number of tubers ('000/ha) in different grades:

In grade (0-25 g), the maximum number of tubers (129.08) was found in control plot. The greater number of decreased size tubers is due to insufficient availability of proper nutrients. In grade (25-50 g), the maximum number of tubers (135.03) was found in T<sub>7</sub>. This is due to proper supply of nutrients both by inorganic (at early stage) and by organic (later stage). In grade (50-75 g), the maximum number of tubers (144.598) was found in T<sub>7</sub>. This is due to proper supply of nutrients both by inorganic (at early stage) and by organic (later stage). In grade (>75 g), the maximum number of tubers (245.344) was found in T<sub>7</sub>. This is due to proper supply of nutrients both by inorganic (at early stage) and by organic (later stage). Total number of tubers per ha have been presented in the table 1. Highest number of tubers per ha (591286 at harvest) were recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>. This is due to more and continuous availability of nutrients. Therefore, a greater number of tubers. Findings are supported by Sayed *et al* (2015) and Yadav *et al* (2014).

### 2. Grade wise yield (t/ha) of tubers:

In grade (0-25 g), the maximum yield of tubers (3.2098 t/ha) was found in control plot. The greater number of decreased size tubers is due to insufficient availability of proper nutrients. In grade (25-50 g), the maximum yield of tubers (6.75 t/ha) was found in T<sub>7</sub>. This is due to proper supply of nutrients both by inorganic (at early stage) and by organic (later stage). In grade (50-75 g), the maximum yield of tubers (10.844 t/ha) was found in T<sub>7</sub>. This is due to proper supply of nutrients both by inorganic (at early stage) and by organic (later stage). In grade (>75 g), the maximum yield of tubers (18.40 t/ha) was found in T<sub>7</sub>. This is due to proper supply of nutrients both by inorganic (at early stage) and by organic (later stage). Indicated with evidence by comparing/supporting with other related finding

### 3. Total tuber yield (t/ha)

Formatted: Highlight

Total yield of tubers per ha have been presented in the table2. Highest yield of tubers per ha (37.665 t/ha at harvest) wasrecorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>. This is due to more and continuous availability of nutrients. Therefore, a greater number of tubers. Findings are supported by Mohammed *et al* (2018). [Add more sources](#)

#### 4. True density (g/cc):

True densityhas been presented in the table 3. Highest true density of tubers (1.123 g/cc) is recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>. This is due to more and continuous availability of nutrients. Therefore, greater photosynthates developed in tubers hence grater the density. Findings are supported by Ram *et al.* (2017). [Add more sources](#)

Formatted: Highlight

#### 5. Tuber dry matter (%):

Tuber dry matter has been presented in the table 3. Highest tuber dry matter (17.173%) is recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>. This is due to more and continuous availability of nutrients. Therefore, greater photosynthates developed in tubers hence grater the density. Findings are supported by Ram *et al.* (2017). [Add more sources](#)

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

#### 6. Starch content (%):

Tuber starch has been presented in the table 3. Highest starch content (14.313%) is recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub>. This is due to more and continuous availability of nutrients. Therefore, greater photosynthates developed in tubers hence grater the density. Findings are supported by Ram *et al.* (2017). [Add more sources](#)

Formatted: Highlight

**Table 1: Effect of treatments on number of tubers in different grades**

Treatments	Number of tubers per plot				Number of tubers per ha (0000 <sup>-1</sup> )			
	0-25gm	25-50gm	50-75gm	>75gm	0-25gm	25-50gm	50-75gm	>75gm
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	167.30	104.40	111.76	191.83	129.08 <sup>a</sup>	80.55	86.23	148.0195
T <sub>2</sub> - RDF- Inorganic	127.80	161.54	157.30	317.46	98.611	124.64	121.37	244.9588
T <sub>3</sub> - NADEP+PSB+Azotobacter	160.90	148.45	147.16	209.26	124.1512	114.544	113.55	161.4712
T <sub>4</sub> - T <sub>3</sub> + FYM @ 25 t/ha	160.30	137.00	157.16	218.33	123.688	105.7099	121.27	168.4671
T <sub>5</sub> - T <sub>3</sub> + vermi-compost @ 7.5 t/ha	166.40	154.75	114.30	237.50	128.39 <sup>b</sup>	119.40	88.19	183.2562
T <sub>6</sub> - T <sub>3</sub> + neem cake @ 5 t/ha + foliar spray of copper oxychloride @ 3 gm/l (for management of foliar diseases)	162.06	168.14	134.66	250.66	125.0463	129.73	103.900	193.4156
T <sub>7</sub> - Integrated practice (90% inorganic practices, 10% organic)	85.68	175.00	187.40	317.96	66.311	135.03	144.598	245.3447
<b>S.Em.</b>	<b>14.68</b>	<b>11.81</b>	<b>6.53</b>	<b>9.93</b>	<b>11.32</b>	<b>9.11</b>	<b>5.04</b>	<b>7.667</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>43.95</b>	<b>35.38</b>	<b>19.56</b>	<b>29.75</b>	<b>33.80</b>	<b>27.21</b>	<b>7.12</b>	<b>22.88</b>

Formatted: Font: 16 pt, Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Comment [A1]: Please indicated mean variation difference (a,b,c,.... as superscript on each value/mean.

**Table 2: Effect of treatments on yield of tubers in different grades**

Treatments	Yield of tubers per plot (kg)				Yield of tubers per ha (t)			
	0-25gm	25-50gm	50-75gm	>75gm	0-25gm	25-50gm	50-75gm	>75gm
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	4.18	5.22	8.38	14.33	3.22	4.02 <sup>d</sup>	6.46	11.10
T <sub>2</sub> - RDF- Inorganic	3.19	8.07	11.79	23.81	2.46	6.23 <sup>b</sup>	9.10	18.37
T <sub>3</sub> - NADEP+PSB+Azotobacter	4.02	7.42	11.03	15.69	3.10	5.72 <sup>b</sup>	8.51	12.11
T <sub>4</sub> - T <sub>3</sub> + FYM @ 25 t/ha	4.00	6.85	11.78	16.37	3.09	5.28	9.09	12.63
T <sub>5</sub> - T <sub>3</sub> + vermi-compost @ 7.5 t/ha	4.16	7.73	8.57	17.81	3.20	5.97	6.61	13.74
T <sub>6</sub> - T <sub>3</sub> + neem cake @ 5 t/ha + foliar spray of copper oxychloride @ 3 gm/l (for management of foliar diseases)	4.05	8.40	10.10	18.80	3.12	6.48 <sup>a/b</sup>	7.79	14.50
T <sub>7</sub> - Integrated practice (90% inorganic practices, 10% organic)	2.14	8.75	14.05	23.84	1.56	6.75 <sup>a</sup>	10.844	18.40
<b>S.Em.</b>	<b>0.36</b>	<b>0.59</b>	<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.45</b>	<b>0.37</b>	<b>0.57</b>
<b>CD at 5%</b>	<b>1.009</b>	<b>1.76</b>	<b>1.46</b>	<b>2.23</b>	<b>0.84</b>	<b>1.36</b>	<b>1.12</b>	<b>1.71</b>

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Comment [A2]: Please indicated difference (a,b,c,... as superscript on each value/mean.

**Table 3: Effect of treatments on total tuber yield (t/ha), True density (g/cc), Tuber dry matter (%) and Tuber starch content (%) significance level is important to show mean variation**

Treatments	Total tuber yield (t/ha)	True density (g/cc)	Tuber dry matter (%)	Tuber starch content (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	24.80	1.030	14.085	13.068
T <sub>2</sub>	36.17	1.113	16.263	13.545
T <sub>3</sub>	29.45	1.070	14.383	13.485
T <sub>4</sub>	30.10	1.033	14.568	13.310
T <sub>5</sub>	29.55	1.063	14.120	14.150
T <sub>6</sub>	31.91	1.073	15.335	13.695
T <sub>7</sub>	37.65	1.123	17.173	14.313
S.Em.	0.83	0.015	0.236	0.155
CD at 5%	2.49	0.045	0.707	0.464

**Comment [A3]:** Please indicated difference (a,b,c,... as superscript on each value/mean.

### Conclusion

The research ~~conclude~~ **concludes** that among 7 treatments, T<sub>7</sub>- Integrated practice (90% inorganic practices, 10% organic) found better for number of tubers in different grades, yield of tubers in different grades, total tuber yield (t/ha) and for quality parameters *e.i.*, True density (g/cc), Tuber dry matter (%) and Tuber starch content (%) and T<sub>1</sub>- control found lowest number of tubers as well as yield per ha and also content lowest quality tubers.

Indicate and recommend your first hand finding and indicate research gap for the future.

Add acknowledgement

Conflicts of interest

### References:

- Houghland, G.V.C. (1966). New conversion table for specific gravity, dry matter, and starch in potatoes. *American Potato journal*. **43**(138).<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02862626>.
- Mohammed, A.; Mohammed, M.; Dechasa, N. and Abduselam, F. (2018). Effects of Integrated Nutrient Management on Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) Growth, Yield and Yield Components at Haramaya Watershed, Eastern Ethiopia. *Open Access Library Journal*. **5**: 1-20 (DOI:10.4236/oalib.1103974).

Mohsenin, N.N. (1986). Physical Properties of Plant and Animal Materials. Structure, Physical Characteristics and Mechanical Properties. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York.

Ram, B.; Singh, B. N.; and Kumar, H. (2017). Impact of various organic treatments on growth, yield and quality parameters of potato. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*. **5**(3):643-647.

Sayed, F. E.S.; Hassan, A. H. and Mohamed, M. E.O., (2015). Impact of Bio and Organic Fertilizers on Potato Yield, Quality and Tuber Weight Loss After Harvest. *Potato Research Potato Research*. **58**(1):67-81.

Yadav, S.K.; Srivastava, A.K. and Bag, T.K. (2014). Effect of integrated nutrient management on production of seed tubers from true potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) seed. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. **59**(4):646-650.

UNDER PEER REVIEW