

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_110419</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Comparative Study of Nano-urea and Conventional Urea: A comprehensive review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript is scientifically important for community. The title of manuscript is unique and suitable. The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive, well explained and well organized. In abstract, the importance of nano- urea and conventional fertilizer are well explained.</p> <p>All structures and subsections that used in this manuscript have fundamental approach and uniquely drawn.</p> <p>References are sufficient and all are good. This manuscript possessed valuable work and reflecting interesting informative answers to questions. It well explained the working of urea in plant as well as properties of urea.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The proficiency of English language is excellent and easily person able to understand.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall manuscript is outstanding, table of specification are well organized and benefits of nano urea are good. Diagrams are clear and easy to understand their working process.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aqsa Yaqoob</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>GCUF, Pakistan</b>