

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109130
Title of the Manuscript:	Association between Farmer's Knowledge on Integrated Farming System and their background characteristics
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript addresses the current issue of sustainable farming in this era and so it is a contribution to knowledge in the scientific community.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, however, it needs more explanation about the methodology, i.e data collection and analysis procedures</p> <p>No, there are no subsections in the manuscripts. They should be included with proper numbering.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct as it has the basic requirements that one must have.</p> <p>No, there are no references at all in the study. The author should really improve on this.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. However, the author should refrain from overusing words like 'but' at every start of the sentences that he/she wishes to show controversy. Words like however, nevertheless, even though, though are also relevant and so they can be employed.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author has done good work but needs to provide more information about the methodology of the work.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nekesa Rhodah
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya