

# **Influence of Integrated Weed & Nutrient Management on Productivity & Profitability of Summer Maize (*Zea mays*) Under Rainfed Condition of Assam**

## **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted at the Instructional-cum-Research (ICR) Farm, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat during the summer season of the year 2020 to evaluate the effects of integrated weed and nutrient management on weed growth, yield attributes and yield of summer maize. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design and replicated thrice. The treatment consisted of three nutrient management practices viz., 100% RDF (N<sub>1</sub>); N<sub>1</sub>+25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/ha (N<sub>2</sub>) and 75% RDN and 100% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O through chemical fertilizer (CF) + 25% N through vermicompost + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/ha (N<sub>3</sub>) and four weed management practices viz., weedy check (WM<sub>1</sub>), live mulching with cowpea (WM<sub>2</sub>), WM<sub>2</sub>+ hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAS (WM<sub>3</sub>) and Atrazine 500g+Pendimethalin 500g/ha followed by hand weeding at 45 DAS (WM<sub>4</sub>). Experimental findings revealed that the different INM practices significantly affected the growth parameters, yield and yield attributes of summer maize. The maximum values of growth parameters were recorded in the treatment involving application of 75% RDN and 100% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O through CF + 25% N through VC + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/ha (N<sub>3</sub>). Similarly, yield and yield attributing characters viz., weight of cob with and without husk, length of cob without husk, number of rows per cobs, grain per row, grain per cob, 1000 grain weight, shelling percentage, grain (23.76 q/ha) and stover (74.55 q/ha) yield. The growth, Yield and yield attributing parameters of maize were significantly affected by the different weed management practices. Pre-emergence application of Atrazine 500g+Pendimethalin 500g/ha followed by hand weeding at 45 DAS (WM<sub>4</sub>) resulted the highest value of yield. The highest grain (21.77 q/ha) and stover (71.12 q/ha) yield were recorded in the treatment WM<sub>4</sub>. The interaction effects of different nutrient and weed management practices were found not significant. The economic study revealed that the highest gross return (₹.1, 03816.00/ha), net return (₹.67, 956.00) and B: C (1.90) were recorded from the treatment combination N<sub>3</sub>WM<sub>4</sub>.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Maize (*Zea mays* L) is one of the world's most important cereal crops belonging to the family Poaceae. The wider adaptability, high yield potential and multiplicity of uses as food, feed and forage crop signifies its importance in the world economy. Maize is a C<sub>4</sub> plant and having maximum yield potential among the cereals and that is why it is called as "Miracle crop" and the "queen of cereals". Worldwide, maize is cultivated in more than 180 million hectares across 165 countries with a production of 1150 million tons (mt). In India out of the total production, 24% is used as staple food, nearly 16% for livestock feed, 16% for industrial purpose and 44% for poultry feed whereas in world for industry 22%, feed 61% and for staple food 17% is used. (DMR, 2019-20). The primary limitations in maize production are governed by biotic factors such as nutrient deficiency and weed infestations. There are more than 90

species of weeds which cause serious crop-weed competition resulting reduction of maize yield drastically. Maize is susceptible to weed competition and yield reduction up to 70 per cent is reported due to infestation of various weeds in maize. With the application of recent methods of weed management, maize yield could be increased up to 30 percent (Mani *et al.*, 1968). In many studies, it has been proved that the use of different methods of weed control combining the herbicides and the mechanical methods in an integrated manner is more effective in terms of weed control, cost effectiveness and from the point of view of environmental health. Nutrient management is another most important thrust area of sustainable maize production. For realizing maximum corn yield, a balanced nutrient management strategy has to be adopted. Maize requires high amount of nutrients due to its high feeding habit and hence, highly fertile soil is required for yield maximization. Inorganic fertilizer alone deteriorates the soil quality. Organic sources also reduce the soil degradation by binding the soil particles but the main limitation of organic sources is that it contains low amount of nutrients as compared to the inorganic fertilizer, as they are bulky in nature and their availability is not sufficient to fulfill the nutrient demand. Therefore, it is necessary to combine the organic and inorganic sources of nutrients for maximization of crop yield, maintenance of soil fertility and for reduction of environmental pollution. In Assam maize is grown mostly under rainfed situation during *kharif* season. Excess rainfall during the *kharif* season, often poses many difficulties in field preparation, seed sowing and germination of *kharif* maize. The nutrient loss is also maximum during this season and finally crop yield is affected. However, with the evolution of early maturing varieties, maize can also be grown in summer season.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at the Instructional-cum-Research Farm, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam during the summer season of the year 2020. The farm is located at 26°47'N latitude and 94°12'E longitudes and at the elevation of 86.6 meters above mean sea level (MSL) at the upper Brahmaputra valley zone of Assam. During the crop growth period the weekly average humidity during the morning hour ranged from 97% to 85% and evening hour ranged from 80% to 58%. The total rainfall received during the field experimentation was 553.30 mm. Moreover, the distribution of amount of rainfall was not even during the crop period and it was maximum during the month of May and lowest during the month of March. The weekly mean maximum temperature ranged from 32.6 to 26.1 C and the weekly mean minimum temperature ranged from 24.8 to 14.2 C during the crop growing season. The weekly mean evaporation varied from 2.30 mm to 3.64 mm during the crop growing period. The weekly average wind speed varied from 1.73 km per hour to 3.24 km per hour and the maximum weekly bright sun shine hours were recorded during the month of March (6.1 hours/day) and minimum in the month of May (0.3 hours/day). The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam in texture, acidic in reaction, medium in organic carbon (0.71%), low in available N (158.60 kg/ha) and medium in available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (22.87 kg/ha) and K<sub>2</sub>O (162.55 kg/ha) and low in available Zn (0.64 ppm). Maize variety used in the experiment was VMH 45. The

required quantity of vermicompost was applied one week before seed sowing. The nutrient content in the applied vermicompost was estimated as 1.5 % N, 0.84 % P and 1.30 % K (Table: 1). The recommended N dose for maize is 60 kg/ha in Assam. The quantity of vermicompost applied for fulfilling 25 % of N i.e. 15 kg/ha through vermicompost was calculated. Herbicides used in the experiment were Atrazine and Pendimethalin. Growth parameters viz. plant height and dry matter accumulation at 30, 60 and 90 DAS, number of leaves and 50 days to tasseling for each treatment were recorded, whereas yield and yield attributing characters viz. total number of rows per cob, total number of kernels per row, weight of cob without husk, weight of cob without husk (g/cob), weight of cob with husk (g/cob), length of cob without husk (cm), number of kernels per cob, grain weight per cob (g), 1000 seed weight (g), cob yield with husk (q/ha), cob yield without husk (q/ha), grain yield (q/ha) and stover yield (q/ha) and shelling percentage were recorded.

$$\text{Shelling percentage} = \frac{\text{Grain yield} \times 100}{\text{Cob yield}}$$

**Table:1 Quantity of vermicompost (VC) applied based on the nutrient analysis**

N content in VC (%)	Moisture content in VC (%)	Quantity of VC applied (kg/ha)	P content in VC (%)	K content in VC (%)	Quantity of VC added through VC (kg/ha)	Quantity of VC added through VC (kg/ha)
1.5	43.00	1430.00	0.84	1.30	8.40	13.0

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Growth parameters

The growth parameters showed a significant impact due to the influence of both INM (Table: 2 and Table: 3). The plant height of maize was found significantly higher at 30 DAS in N<sub>2</sub> treatment this might be due to quick release of nutrients from the chemical fertilizers (Patel *et al.*, 2006). However, at 60 and 90 days after sowing, significantly higher plant growth was recorded with the application of 75% RDN and 100% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O through chemical fertilizers + 25% N through vermicompost + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/ha (N<sub>3</sub>) followed by 100% RDF + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/ha (N<sub>2</sub>) as compared to 100% RDF (N<sub>1</sub>). Swarup *et al.* (1998) reported that good crop growth recorded by combining inorganic source

of nutrients with organic sources. Chemical fertilizers are readily available to meet the initial crop growth requirement but at the later stage of crop growth these are not equally available. On the other hand, organic sources of fertilizers release nutrients at a slower rate and remain available for longer period of time. In the present investigation, higher plant height of maize was recorded with recommended dose of fertilizer when 75% of the nitrogen was supplied through inorganic sources and 25% through vermicompost along with zinc nutrition. Similar results were also revealed by Dadarwa *et al.* (2009) and Khadtare *et al.*, (2006). In respect of dry matter accumulation, number of leaves per plant and days to 50% tasseling, significantly higher values were obtained with 75 % RDN and 100 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O through chemical fertilizers + 25 % N through vermicompost + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/ha (N<sub>3</sub>). The reason for higher values of growth parameter recorded with integration of organic and inorganic is due to easy availability of plant nutrients from soil for a longer period of time. The combined application of organic source like vermicompost might have reduced the leaching and runoff losses of nutrients. Similar results were reported by Nanjappa *et al.* (2001), Kumar *et al.* (2005). Integration of zinc might have resulted better growth of the crop by enhancing its availability to the maize crop. The plant growth parameters *viz.*, plant height, dry matter accumulation, number of leaves per plant and days to 50% tasseling were found to be significantly affected due to the different IWM practices (Table:2 and Table :3). Plant height, dry matter accumulation, number of leaves and days to 50% tasseling were found to be markedly higher with application of Atrazine 500g + Pendimethalin 500g/ha followed by hand weeding at 45 DAS (WM<sub>3</sub>) which was followed by mulching + hand weeding at 25 and 45 DAS (WM<sub>3</sub>) and live mulching with cowpea (WM<sub>2</sub>) as compared to the weedy check treatment (WM<sub>1</sub>). This was mainly due to the minimum crop weed competition during the crop growth period under the treatments having different integrated weed management practices. Weed control at the early growth stages of the maize crop enables the crop for efficient utilization of different growth resources *viz.*, nutrients, moisture, light and space. Due to the creation of favourable crop growing environment as a result of minimal crop weed competition, the maize crop registered better growth and development. Similar findings were also reported by Hatti *et al.* (2014) and Shantveerayyahawaldar and Agasimani, (2012). No interaction effect was found between INM and IWM on growth parameters of summer maize.

**Table: 2. Plant height (cm) and shoot dry weight (g/plant) of summer maize at different days after sowing as affected by integrated weed and nutrient management practices**

Treatments	Plant height			Shoot dry weight (g/plant)		
	30DAS	60DAS	90DAS	30DAS	60DAS	90DAS
<b>Nutrientmanagement(N)</b>						
N <sub>1</sub> :100%RDF	30.30	92.71	156.41	8.18	14.24	28.90
N <sub>2</sub> :N <sub>1</sub> +25kgZnSO <sub>4</sub> /ha	33.02	100.05	170.66	9.45	17.48	34.70
N <sub>3</sub> :75%RDNand100%P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> andK <sub>2</sub> O throughCF+25% NthroughVC+25kgZnSO <sub>4</sub> /ha	32.15	106.12	177.05	9.30	19.61	38.70
SEm <sub>+</sub>	0.74	0.22	0.13	0.35	0.13	0.21
CD(P=0.05)	2.15	0.65	0.39	1.03	0.39	0.61
<b>Weedmanagement(WM)</b>						
WM <sub>1</sub> :Weedycheck	30.20	97.05	163.80	7.82	15.94	32.00
WM <sub>2</sub> :Livemulchingwithcowpea	30.78	98.76	166.74	8.93	16.69	33.50
WM <sub>3</sub> :WM <sub>2</sub> +handweeding at25and45 DAS	32.37	100.48	169.33	9.31	17.55	34.90
WM <sub>4</sub> : Atrazine500g+Pendimethalin500g/hafollowed by handweeding at 45DAS	33.94	102.20	172.29	9.85	18.26	36.00
SEm <sub>+</sub>	0.85	0.25	0.15	0.40	0.153	0.24
CD(P=0.05)	2.50	0.76	0.46	1.18	0.45	0.70
<b>Interaction(NxWM)</b>						
SEm <sub>+</sub>	1.48	0.44	0.27	0.70	0.26	0.41
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

**Table: 3. Number of leaves/plants and of summer maize at different days after sowing as affected by integrated weed and nutrient management practices**

Treatments	Number of leaves/ plants			50% tasseling
	30DAS	60DAS	90DAS	
<b>Nutrientmanagement(N)</b>				
N <sub>1</sub> :100%RDF	3.67	6.98	8.09	62.93
N <sub>2</sub> :N <sub>1</sub> +25kgZnSO <sub>4</sub> /ha	4.91	7.97	9.08	61.35
N <sub>3</sub> :75%RDNand100%P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> andK <sub>2</sub> O throughCF+25% NthroughVC+25kgZnSO <sub>4</sub> /ha	4.73	8.49	9.96	60.11
SEm <sub>±</sub>	0.52	0.50	0.51	1.18

CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Weedmanagement(WM)</b>				
WM <sub>1</sub> :Weedycheck	3.94	7.30	8.46	62.60
WM <sub>2</sub> :Livemulchingwithcowpea	4.07	7.75	8.95	61.43
WM <sub>3</sub> :WM <sub>2</sub> +handweedingat25and 45 DAS	4.41	7.84	9.11	61.06
WM <sub>4</sub> : Atrazine500g +Pendimethalin500g/hafollowed by handweeding at 45DAS	5.18	8.37	9.43	60.76
SEm±	0.60	0.58	59	1.37
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Interaction(NxWM)</b>				
SEm±	0.08	0.24	1.03	2.37
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS

### Yield attributes and yield

The yield attributes and yield of maize were significantly affected by the various INM practices (Table: 4, Table: 5, and Table: 6). The parameters like weight of cob with and without husk, length of cob without husk, number of grain rows per cob, number of grains per row, number of grains per cob, 1000-grain weight was found significantly higher under the INM practices as compared to RDF practice. All the characters associated with yield attributes under INM practices were found statistically significant over the RDF. This was mainly due to the availability of nutrient as organic sources of nutrient provide nutrient for a longer period of time. The accessibility of nitrogen enhanced in soil due to steady release of the nutrients from organic sources as described by Kumari *et al.* (2010). The enhance accessibility of phosphorus may be due to production of organic acids released at the time of microbial decomposition of organic matters. The organic acids enhance the solubility of native phosphorus, thereby enhance the phosphorus availability. On the other hand, the enhance potassium availability in soil might be due to positive effect of organic source on the reduction of potassium fixation and release of potassium as a result of interaction of organic with clay. These findings closely corroborate the findings of Das *et al.* (2004). The N<sub>3</sub> treatment registered 25 % higher cob weight than the N<sub>1</sub> treatment. It was clearly indicated that integration of different source of organic and inorganic nutrients enhance the nutrient use efficiency by crop plant; because of this maize yield was enhanced. Mugwe *et al.* (2009) revealed that combine application of organic and inorganic source of fertilizer gave significantly higher yield than only sole source of fertilizer. Similar, effect was revealed by Dilshad *et al.* (2010), Osman *et al.* (2010). This is also because of the fact that application of vermicompost not only enhances microbial activities, but

also improve soil physical condition and micronutrients (Jilani *et al.*, 2007). Kanchikerimath and Singh (2001) also revealed that when organic source applied along with inorganic source maize crop yield was increased. Among the INM practices, 75% N through chemical fertilizer + 25% nitrogen through vermicompost provide the best result. This combination of different source of nutrients provides adequate amount of macro and micro nutrient and also enhance physical and chemical properties of soil and ultimately nutrient availability for plant which is benefited for growth as well as for yield attributes of maize plant. Similar findings are close accordance with reported by Parsad *et al.* (2003). The Grain, cob yield without husk, stover yield and shelling % of maize were significantly affected by the various INM practices. Application of 75% N through RDF + 25% N through vermicompost enhances the stover yield of maize and almost similar trend was followed in case of grain yield of maize. The higher yield under these treatments was due to favourable effect of nutrient application on crop vegetative growth and yield attributes of crop. The increasing trend in stover and grain yield of maize under these treatments may be due to betterments in physical and chemical properties of soil and balance availability of nutrient and carbon which work on growth and yield improving characters of crop plant. Similar findings were also revealed by Saini and Kumar (2014) and Nasab *et al.* (2015). Application of zinc plays a crucial role in crop productivity as it involves directly in physiological process and inadequate supply of zinc reduce the yield of maize by 10% (Subedi and Ma, 2009). Application of Zinc fertilizer increases the growth and yield attributes and ultimately yield of maize (Abunyeva and Mercie-Quarshie, 2004). The reason given by these researchers for improving the maize yield with zinc application was focused mainly on improvement on kernel number and thousand kernel weights.

Findings on yield attributes and yield were found to be significant under various IWM treatments (Table: 4, Table: 5, and Table: 6). The significantly higher weight of cob with and without husk, length of cob without husk, rows per cob, grain per row, grain per cob, 1000-grain weight were found under IWM practice (WM<sub>4</sub>) followed by WM<sub>3</sub> and WM<sub>2</sub> and the lowest values were found under weedy check (WM<sub>1</sub>) treatment. Different weed management practices significantly affected the growth and yield attributes of corn. This might be due to creation of changed micro-climate in terms of physical environment for mechanical manipulation of soil and minimum crop-weed competition under hand weeding which led to good yield component and ultimately better yield (Mundra *et al.*, 2003). The yield advantage due to various weed management methods as compared to weedy check were mainly attributed for good yield attributing parameters and comparatively less weed population and weed biomass along with higher weed control efficiency. The findings are in close conformity with those reported in maize by Singh *et al.*, (2005). Pre-emergence application of Atrazine and Pendimethalin @ 1 kg/ha followed by hand weeding resulted significantly higher cob length, number of grains per cob grain row whereas, lower values of these parameter were found under weedy check. Similar

reduction was also observed under un-weeded plot by Pandey *et al.*, (2001). No interaction effect was found between INM and IWM on growth parameters of summer maize.

**Table: 4. Number of grain rows per cob, Number of grains per row, grains per cob of summer maize as affected by integrated weed and nutrient management practices**

Treatments	Grain rows per cob	Grain per row	Grain per cob
<b>Nutrient management (N)</b>			
N <sub>1</sub> : 100% RDF	11.74	20.53	241.54
N <sub>2</sub> : N <sub>1</sub> +25kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> /ha	13.54	23.11	312.49
N <sub>3</sub> : 75% RDN and 100% P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> and K <sub>2</sub> O through CF+25% N through VC+25kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> /ha	15.10	25.22	380.28
SEm <sub>±</sub>	0.04	0.14	1.87
CD (P=0.05)	0.14	0.41	5.48
<b>Weed management (WM)</b>			
WM <sub>1</sub> : Weedy check	12.79	22.05	284.40
WM <sub>2</sub> : Live mulching with cowpea	13.22	22.65	302.13
WM <sub>3</sub> : WM <sub>2</sub> +handweeding at 25 and 45 DAS	13.65	23.04	316.65
WM <sub>4</sub> : Atrazine 500g+Pendimethalin 500g/ha followed by handweeding at 45 DAS	14.17	24.06	342.56
SEm <sub>±</sub>	0.05	0.16	2.16
CD (P=0.05)	0.16	0.48	5.60
<b>Interaction (N x WM)</b>			
SEm <sub>±</sub>	0.09	0.28	3.85
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS

**Table: 5. Weight of cob with husk (g/cob), weight of cob without husk (g/cob), length of cob without husk (cm), 1000 grain weight (g), weight of grain per cob (g) of summer maize as affected by integrated weed and nutrient management practices**

Treatments	Wt. of cob with husk	Wt. of cob without husk	Length of cob without husk	1000 grain wt.	Wt. of grain per cob
<b>Nutrient management (N)</b>					
N <sub>1</sub> : 100% RDF	218.36	172.06	16.10	291.26	75.64
N <sub>2</sub> : N <sub>1</sub> +25kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> /ha	241.37	188.44	19.71	301.38	90.18
N <sub>3</sub> : 75% RDN and 100% P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> and K <sub>2</sub> O through CF+25% N through VC+25kg ZnSO <sub>4</sub> /ha	254.32	206.37	21.67	311.12	105.78

SEm+	0.38	0.43	0.15	0.29	0.58
CD(P=0.05)	1.12	1.27	0.46	0.87	1.72
<b>Weedmanagement(WM)</b>					
WM <sub>1</sub> :Weedycheck	231.53	181.76	18.06	297.19	85.42
WM <sub>2</sub> :Livemulchingwithcowpea	236.97	185.29	18.65	300.35	87.82
WM <sub>3</sub> :WM <sub>2</sub> +handweeding at25and45 DAS	240.83	192.17	19.54	302.14	91.95
WM <sub>4</sub> : Atrazine500g+Pendimethalin500g/ hafollowed by handweeding at 45DAS	242.74	196.61	20.39	305.33	96.93
SEm+	0.44	0.50	0.18	0.34	0.67
CD(P=0.05)	1.30	1.46	0.53	1.01	1.98
<b>Interaction(NxWM)</b>					
SEm+	0.76	0.86	0.31	0.59	1.17
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

**Table: 6. Grain yield (q/ha), cob yield without husk (q/ha), stover yield (q/ha)and shelling % of summer maize as affected by integrated weed andnutrientmanagementpractices**

Treatments	Grain yield	Cob yield without husk	Stover yield	Shelling %
<b>Nutrientmanagement(N)</b>				
N <sub>1</sub> :100%RDF	17.24	29.46	60.64	57.12
N <sub>2</sub> :N <sub>1</sub> +25kgZnSO <sub>4</sub> /ha	20.11	33.88	67.27	59.37
N <sub>3</sub> :75%RDNand100%P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> and K <sub>2</sub> OthroughCF+ 25%N throughVC + 25kgZnSO <sub>4</sub> /ha	23.76	37.21	74.55	64.04
SEm+	0.29	0.52	1.32	1.32
CD(P=0.05)	0.85	1.52	3.87	3.88
<b>Weedmanagement(WM)</b>				
WM <sub>1</sub> :Weedycheck	19.45	32.31	64.24	58.31
WM <sub>2</sub> :Livemulchingwithcowpea	19.72	32.76	66.88	59.56

WM <sub>3</sub> :WM <sub>2</sub> +handweeding at25and45 DAS	20.53	33.78	67.69	60.59
WM <sub>4</sub> :Atrazine500g+Pendimethalin500g/hafollowed by handweeding at45DAS	21.77	35.21	71.12	62.25
SEm <sub>+</sub>	0.33	0.60	1.52	1.53
CD(P=0.05)	0.98	1.76	4.47	4.48
<b>Interaction(NxWM)</b>				
SEm <sub>+</sub>	0.58	1.04	2.64	2.64
CD(P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS

### Economics

Economics of cultivation is the most important factor which decides the adoption of improved practices by the growers (Table: 7).

In the present study, under different integrated weed and nutrient management practices, the combination N<sub>3</sub>WM<sub>4</sub> involving application of 75 % RDN and 100 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O through chemical fertilizers + 25 % N through VC + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/ha and application of Atrazine 500g+Pendimethalin 500g/ha followed by hand weeding at 45DAS recorded the highest gross return (₹ .103816.00) and net return (₹ .67955.00) and benefit-cost ratio (1.90) owing to highest crop productivity registered in this combination.

**Table: 7**

**Economics of summer maize as affected by integrated nutrient and weed management practices**

Treatment Combination	Cost of cultivation (₹ /ha)	Gross return (₹ /ha)	Net return (₹ /ha)	B:C
N <sub>1</sub> WM <sub>1</sub>	31000	65260	34260	0.90
N <sub>1</sub> WM <sub>2</sub>	32400	74490	42090	1.30
N <sub>1</sub> WM <sub>3</sub>	34800	78494	43694	1.25
N <sub>1</sub> WM <sub>4</sub>	33700	81614	47914	1.42
N <sub>2</sub> WM <sub>1</sub>	32500	81770	49270	1.51
N <sub>2</sub> WM <sub>2</sub>	33800	86190	52390	1.55
N <sub>2</sub> WM <sub>3</sub>	36300	88946	52646	1.45
N <sub>2</sub> WM <sub>4</sub>	34800	90220	55420	1.59
N <sub>3</sub> WM <sub>1</sub>	34600	82680	48080	1.39
N <sub>3</sub> WM <sub>2</sub>	35800	94846	59046	1.65
N <sub>3</sub> WM <sub>3</sub>	36900	96018	59118	1.60
N <sub>3</sub> WM <sub>4</sub>	35860	103816	67956	1.90

### CONCLUSION

Results of the experiment shows that application of 75 % RDN and 100 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O through chemical fertilizers + 25 % N through vermicompost + 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub>/ha resulted the highest growth, yield attributes, yield and net return of summer maize. Among the integrated weed management practices, pre emergence application of Atrazine 500 g + Pendimethalin 500 g /ha followed by hand weeding at 45 DAS resulted the maximum crop growth, better yield and net return of summer maize.

### REFERENCES

- Abunyewa, A. A.; and Mercie-Quarshie, H. (2004). Response to maize to magnesium and zinc application in the semi-arid zone of West Africa. *Asian J. Plant Sci.* **3**(1), 1–5.
- Dadarwal, R. S.; Jain, N. K. and Singh, D. (2009). Integrated nutrient management in baby corn (*Zeamays*). *Indian journal of Agricultural Science*, **79**: 1023-1
- Das, K.; R. Dang, and T. N.; Shivananda. (2004). Influence of bio-fertilizers on the availability of nutrients (N, P and K) in soil in relation to growth and yield of *Stevia rebaudiana* grown in South India. *International Journal of Applied Research in Natural Products*, **1.1**: 20-24.
- Dilshad, M. D.; Lone, M. I.; Jilani, G.; Malik, M. A.; Yousaf, M.; Khalid, R. & Shamim, F. (2010). Integrated plant nutrient management (IPNM) on maize under rainfed condition. *Pak. J. Nutr.* **9**: 896-901.
- Directorate of maize research, 2019. RAB MAIZE Opportunities & Challenges. <http://www.iimr.res.in/download/Rabi%20Maize-Opportunities%20and%20Challenges.pdf>.
- Hatti, V.; Sanjay, M.T.; Ramachandra Prasad, T.V.; Kalyanamurthy, K.N.; Basavaraj Kumbar and Shruthi, M.K. (2014). Effect of new herbicide molecules on yield, soil microbial biomass and their phytotoxicity on maize (*Zeamays L.*) under irrigated conditions. *The Bioscan* **9**(3): 1127-1130.
- Jilani, G.; Akram, A.; Ali, R. M.; Hafeez, F. Y.; Shamsi, I. H.; Chaudhry, A. N. & Chaudhry, A. G. (2007). Enhancing crop growth, nutrients availability, economics and beneficial rhizosphere microflora through organic and biofertilizers. *Annals of Microbiology*, **57**(2), 177-184.
- Kanchikerimath, Manjaiah, and Dhyan Singh. (2001). Soil organic matter and biological properties after 26 years of maize-wheat-cowpea cropping as affected by manure and fertilization in a Cambisol in semi-arid region of India. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment* **86** (2): 155-162.
- Khadtare, S. V.; Patel, M. V.; Jadhav, J. D. & Mokashi, D. D. (2006). Effect of vermicompost on yield and economics of sweet corn. *Journal of Soils and Crops*, **16**(2): 401-406.
- Kumar, A.; Gautam, R.C.; Singh, R.; Rana, K.S. (2005). Growth, yield and economics of maize-wheat cropping sequence as influenced by integrated nutrient management of New Delhi. *Indian Journal Agriculture Science*. **75**(1): 709-711.
- Kumari, N.; Singh, A. K.; Pal, S. K. & Thakur, R. (2010). Effect of organic nutrient management on yield, nutrient uptake and nutrient balance sheet in scented rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **55**(3), 220-223.
- Mani, V. S.; Gautam, K.C. and Chakraborty, T. K. (1968). Losses in crop yield in India due to weed growth. *PAN (S)* **14**: 142-158.
- Mugwe J.N.; Mucheru-Muna M.; Mugendi D.N.; Kungu J.B.; Bationo A.; Mairura F. (2009). Adoption potential of selected organic inputs for improving soil fertility in the Central Highlands of Kenya. *Agrofor. Syst.* **76**: 467–485.
- Mundra, S. L.; Vyas, A. K. and Maliwal, P. L. (2003). Effect of weed and nutrient management on weed growth and productivity of maize (*Zea mays L.*). *Indian J. Weed Sci.*, **35**(1&2): 57-61.

- Nanjappa, H. V.; Ramachandrappa, B. K.; Mallikarjuna, B. O. (2001). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient balance in maize (*Zeamays L.*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. **46**(4):698-701.
- Nasab, M. V.; Mobasser, H. R. and Ganjali, H.R. (2015). Effect of different levels of vermicompost on yield and quality of maize varieties. *Biological Forum-An International Journal* **7**(1):856-860.
- Osman, Awad, G.; F.I.A. Elaziz, and Gadalla A. ElHassan. (2010). "Effects of biological and mineral fertilization on yield, chemical composition and physical characteristics of faba bean (*Vicia faba L.*) cultivar seleim." *Pak. J. Nutr* **9**.7: 703-708.
- Pandey, A.K.; Prakash, V.; Singh, R.D.; Mani, V.P. (2001). Integrated weed management in maize (*Zeamays*). *Indian J. Agron*. **46**(2):260-265.
- Patel, J.B.; Patel, V.J. & Patel, J.R. (2006). Influence of different methods of irrigation and nitrogen levels on crop growth rate and yield of maize (*Zeamays L.*). *Indian Journal of Crop Science*, **1**: 175-177.
- Prasad, B. K.; Singh, D. N. and Singh, S. N. (2003). Effect of long-term use of fertilizer, lime and manures on growth and yield of sweet corn. *Journal Indian Society Soil Science* **34**(2): 271-274.
- Saini, J. P. & Kumar, R. (2014). Long term effect of organic sources of nutrients on productivity and soil health in maize+soybean—wheat+gram cropping system. *Building Organic Bridges*, **2**: 611-614.
- Shantveerayyahawaldar and Agasimani, C. A. (2012). Effect of herbicides on weed control and productivity of maize (*Zea mays L.*). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* **25**(1): 137-139
- Singh, M.; Singh, P. and Nepalia, V. (2005). Integrated weed management studies in maize based intercropping system. *Indian J. Weed Sci.*, **37**(3 and 4):205-08
- Subedi, K. D. and Ma, B. L. (2009). Assessment of some major yield-limiting factors on maize production in a humid temperate environment. *Field Crop Res.* **110**, 21–26. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2008.06.013
- Swarup, A.; Reddy, D.; Prasad, R.N. (1998). Long-Term Soil Fertility Management Through Integrated Plant Nutrient Supply. *Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India. P.*: 335