

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_110660
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical, nutritional and functional properties of composite flour blends from whole wheat, sweet potato, defatted peanut and rice bran
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Your review article makes a valuable contribution to the literature in your field. With the suggested revisions and attention to detail, it has the potential to become an essential reference for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers interested in the topic)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Comment regarding references has been added. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Reviewer Comments: Your review article makes a valuable contribution to the literature in your field. With the suggested revisions and attention to detail, it has the potential to become an essential reference for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers interested in the topic.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Your introduction sets the stage effectively, but consider refining it to provide more context. In the conclusion, reiterate the main takeaways and their broader significance. Pay attention to grammar, punctuation, and style consistency throughout the manuscript. A polished language and consistent writing style enhance the professionalism of your work. Make the references style according to Journal. The discussion on future prospects and implications of the reviewed studies is a strong point of your article. Expanding on this aspect further can enhance the article's appeal and relevance to readers interested in the subject's evolution. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes, but need some improvement.</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanchit Dhankhar
Department, University & Country	Chitkara College of Pharmacy, Chitkara University, India