

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_110195
Title of the Manuscript:	Revolutionizing Vegetable Value Chains: A Comprehensive Review of Digital Technologies and their Impact on Agricultural Transformation
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1) <b>The manuscript is important for scientific community</b> It gives an impressive review of digital technologies and their impact on the value chains of some vegetables and fruits in India.</p> <p>2) <b>The Title of the article id suitable</b></p> <p>3) <b>The abstract is comprehensive enough</b></p> <p>4) <b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and cover the subject matter sufficiently</b></p> <p>5) <b>The manuscript is scientifically correct</b></p> <p>6) <b>The references are sufficient and recent</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The English language used is of high quality and suitable for scholarly communication	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The article has been very well written	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Patrick Wekondi Watete</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Livestock Training Institute, Kenya</b>