

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_110071
Title of the Manuscript:	An Analysis of the Behavioural Aspects of Entrepreneurial Intention among Business Students in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is essential for the scientific community because it presents groundbreaking findings and innovative research methods that could pave the way for further research. The article's title is suitable because it accurately reflects the content and scope of the research. It effectively captures the main essence of the study, making it easily understandable for potential readers. Consider specifying the exact aspect or elements of entrepreneurial intention being investigated. For instance, mentioning whether it's related to their future career plans, startup aspirations, or specific entrepreneurial traits being assessed could enhance the clarity. Information on how the participants were chosen, their demographics, and any potential biases should be included. Describing the specific Likert scale range or statistical methods used for analysis would add clarity. Providing key statistical results or effect sizes would make the findings more robust. Keywords should only consist of 4-5 words. Please review the writing format and follow the guidelines specified, particularly in quotations, paragraphs, and spacing. The manuscript is scientifically correct. While the statistical analyses were thorough, the interpretation of certain findings might benefit from further elaboration or context to explain why certain factors did not show significant relationships with entrepreneurial intention. Please use the most recent references (last five years). You can look for references via Google Scholar or others. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The language and quality of English in the article are mostly clear, but some sections could benefit from more precise and concise academic language. Additionally, some sentences could be improved for greater clarity and cohesion in scholarly communication. Overall, further refinement could enhance its suitability for scholarly publications. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript provides an insightful exploration of entrepreneurial intentions among Bangladeshi business students. It effectively examines various factors influencing entrepreneurial attitudes. However, it requires refinements in language precision, structural coherence, and statistical explanations for better scholarly communication. Additionally, enhancing clarity in presenting findings and avoiding redundancy would strengthen its academic appeal.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rosita Widya Putri
Department, University & Country	Indonesia