

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_109528
Title of the Manuscript:	PROBLEMS IN STARTING BUSINESS IN MYSORE DISTRICT: A STUDY ON SCHEDULED CASTE AND SCHEDULED TRIBE WOMEN ENTREPRENEURS
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The paper is good, however requires major improvements. 2. The title acceptable. 3. The abstract acceptable. 4. The subsection require improvement. Suggestion as following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.0 Introduction Background of the Study Problem Statement Scope of the Study Research Objectives, Research Questions and Hypotheses 2.0 Literature Review <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2.1 Overview Women Entrepreneurship in India 2.2 What is Structured Caste and Structured Tribes? 2.3 The role of Women of Structured Caste and Structured Tribes 2.4 Theories Related to the Study 2.5 Challenges Faced by Women Entrepreneur in Structured Caste and Structured Tribes 2.6 Conceptual Framework or Theoretical Framework for the Study 3.0 Methodology <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3.1 Sampling and Data Collection 3.2 Questionnaires Development – with references 3.3 Reliability Analysis 4.0 Analysis and Findings <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4.1 Respondent Profiles 4.2 Hypotheses Analysis 4.3 Findings from the Analysis 5.0 Conclusion Discuss whether the hypotheses, research question, and research objective answered by the analysis. 6.0 References Get more current references and add more references to support your discussion. 5. Acceptable, but need to identify the scale used to measure the data for example Serious problem being measure as 1 or 4? Please explain. Because that will support the result and interpretation of your analysis. 6. Most of references too outdated, it is advisable for the author to add more recent references from 2019 till 2023 to 70% or 80% of the total references used for this paper. 7. Avoid from writing a review such as one author one paragraph, if possible, one statement with supports from few authors. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>English acceptable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nazatul Shima Abdul Rani
Department, University & Country	UniKL Business School, Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia