

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_110072
Title of the Manuscript:	Contingent Valuation of Forest Produce in High Altitude Zone of Andhra Pradesh
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important but it needs readability attention.</p> <p>The title is very suitable.</p> <p>The abstract is good but should make sense by itself. Therefore, it needs revision.</p> <p>The subsection and structure of the abstract requires some correction. What about study area's description and Map? It lacks recommendations. Try to include these subsections. Yes. It is correct. But there is little confusion with the objective of the study. Make it clear.</p> <p>Not sufficient. The references are too little and needs inclusion of recent empirical studies.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Lack a clear picture of the research gap in introduction.</p> <p>Editorial correction across the document is essential.</p> <p>I strongly recommend the author to keep scientific order of writing the manuscript. i.e Introduction, Methodology(study area description, sampling techniques, data type and method of data collection), Result and discussion and finally conclusion and recommendations.</p> <p>In addition, adequate references in the background as well as sufficient empirical support of the study results must be include.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Birhanu Argaw Embiale
Department, University & Country	Bonga University, Ethiopia