

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_109495
Title of the Manuscript:	The dhikr and healthy aging in Indonesia: A literature review
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript does has scientific relevance. I suggest the authors to further this study in the form of observational or better randomized controlled study so as to get much credible and trustworthy conclusions. This study can be made much better if we study many more physical health variables besides hypertension and pain.</p> <p>2. The title is small, simple and suitable. I want to suggest one.....a) The dhikr on healthy aging.....b) the impact or influence of dhikr on healthy aging</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure are appropriate, however subsection 4.6 is mistakenly written as 5.6</p> <p>5. The scientific relevance of this manuscript can be backed up by carrying out serial serum neurotransmitter levels (serotonin, dopamine etc) or neuropsychological assessment.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and recent as well.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language or quality of English is simple, easy to understand, grammatically correct and crisp. However, the spelling of dhikr is different at few places for e.g.in conclusion. Try to be uniform when using dhikr. It's wriiten zikir in subheading 5.6.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Swati Panwar
Department, University & Country	Aakash Healthcare Super Speciality Hospital, India