

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_110186
Title of the Manuscript:	POETRY: RESPONSE OF MEDICAL STUDENTS TO USE IN TEACHING DERMATOLOGY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Importance: Unfortunately, I do not feel that this manuscript adds new knowledge to the scientific research.</p> <p>Title: The title of the manuscript is suitable.</p> <p>Abstract: Delete space between the word "count" and the comma.</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It was surprising to see that the introduction include only 2 references. - The introduction is very short and lacks some clarity. I would expect to see more elaboration on the main idea of the article. - What does this manuscript add to the subject area compared with other published material? <p>Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The authors did not mention the <i>n</i> or the test used. - The authors mention that the response of the medical students was judged by a voice vote, hand count, body language and attention. How were these variables measured? - How was body language or attention register? - No scientific method was followed. - The methods section did not include the number of reference of the ethics committee. - Therefore, we can say that the manuscript is not scientifically correct. <p>Discussion: The discussion section contains few information.</p> <p>References: Only 7 references are included in the manuscript, while I expected to find much more. Furthermore, the references are not properly cited.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Josune Rodríguez-Negro
Department, University & Country	University of the Basque Country, Spain