

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109872
Title of the Manuscript:	Viability And Vigor of Seeds Anadenanthera Macrorpa Benth in Different Substrates
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. It is useful to determine and select a good substrate for raising seedlings in nurseries.</p> <p>Title can be modified as Evaluation of Viability and Vigor of <i>Anadenanthera macrocarpa</i> (Benth) seeds in different substrates</p> <p>Ok. But the results obtained from various treatments given in Figs. 1 to 4 can be checked once again in the manuscript and select the best substrate and its combination. Then provide the details in the abstract</p> <p>Ok.</p> <p>Correct</p> <p>Ok. The author(s) quoted relevant references in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Ok. It is suitable for communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is well written. However, it needs minor revision of the whole text as suggested in the manuscript/paper.</p> <p>2. Title of the manuscript can be changed as suggested in the manuscript.</p> <p>3. The author(s) must check all the Figures (1 to 4) to get the results of the findings of 6 different treatments. There are mistakes in different Figures and the same were suggested in the manuscript.</p> <p>4. While quoting red angico, the uniformity should be maintained in the whole manuscript as suggested in the manuscript</p> <p>5. Abstract, Results and Conclusion chapters can be modified after checking all Figures and results of various treatments.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. Mohan
Department, University & Country	University of Madras, India