

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_109843
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF Candida SPECIES AMONG HIV-INFECTED ADULT FEMALES AT MBODO HEALTH CENTER ALUU, RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The paper is technically sound and results fully supported by experimental data. The data adhere to the expected standards of research in our community. The authors are congratulate for this laudable initiative. There are still a few issues that need to be addressed before this manuscript is fit for publication.</p> <p>The title of this article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive but there are still a few issues that need to be addressed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -the author should correct the sentence "human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is still a worldwide epidemic" by "human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection" is still a pandemic. - Change the word "culturing" by "culture" -When you start a sentence write the number in letters. Replace 80% by eighty percent -In the sentence: Candida albicans being the most common species remove the "s" -The author mentioned incidence of vaginal candidiasis it should be change by frequency. -In the sentence ".....and aged 31-40" add years after 31-40. -In the sentence "The research sheds light.....", replace the research by 'This study' -The authors should write <i>Candida</i> in italic <p>subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and the manuscript is scientifically correct but my suggestions to improve on the quality of the manuscript are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Add the absence of sexual intercourse as an inclusion criteria - The author should Correct grammatical errors throughout the document. - In the Sample and Sampling Techniques, -For the research....their permission, replace permission by consent N: is the minimal sample size Consider P as a prevalence. 0.076 is a prevalence, not a p value In microscopy change the word "goals" by "objective" -Change the subtitle cultivation by culture In the subtitle Biochemical test : - replace "gramme" by "Gram" - replace "maize meal agar with tween-80" by corn meal agar supplemented with tween-80 <p>In the statistical analysis the authors mentioned Simple logistic regression analysis but the results do not show a regression line</p> <p>Results</p> <p>As the variables are quantitatives the suitable test is Anova test for multivariate analysis, not Each table should have three lines</p> <p>Check the interpretation of the results. The author has expressed the age groups as percentages, you should correct.</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>The discussion should be improved and strengthened. Remove the tests from the pie chart and add the legend. Leave the percentages on the image. Avoid repetition when interpreting the results. The author can group table 5 and 6 together as a table and show the frequency of species per sample. The average is not necessary if the frequency is mentioned. The limitations of the study should be mentioned.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Partially</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yves Le Grand Napa Tchuedji
Department, University & Country	Centre Pasteur du Cameroun, Cameroon