

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_110582
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of crop establishment methods and irrigation scheduling on growth and soil nutrient status of wheat
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The effects of different crop establishment methods, such as raised bed sowing or conventional tillage, allows farmers and researchers to identify the most effective approach for promoting optimal plant height and overall crop growth. This knowledge is valuable in maximizing yield potential and improving agricultural productivity.</p> <p>Article title was suitable. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive as it provides a summary of the main aspects covered in the study, including the investigation of crop establishment methods and irrigation scheduling on the growth and soil nutrient status of wheat.</p> <p>Structure and subsections are good.</p> <p>This manuscript has all the advantages of a research article and it is scientifically important. Manuscript has sufficient references. I written comment for correction.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The written language of the article was understandable for the readers. But had some writing problems.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul Hallim Majidi
Department, University & Country	Badakhshan University, Afghanistan