

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_110462
Title of the Manuscript:	Consumption of Potash distorted Female Sex Hormones which may result in Gynaecological and Obstetrics Complaints
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>From where I came from, we never use Potash in food ,, but as it is a common food ingredient in Nigeria , I think the manuscript has an importance because of that particular aspect</p> <p>I think the title is not clear and need a re-write, to clear the type of the study also.</p> <p>The result part contains no data, otherwise it is ok</p> <p>Structure is ok</p> <p>Yes it is correct</p> <p>References are ok</p> <p>The methods :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sample size calculation? 2. Randomization method? 3. Registration of the trial? 4. Site of conduction of the trial? 5. Date of conduction of the trial 6. Type of the study? 7. Method and daily doses of potash administration to the animal? 8. Methods of animal scarification? 9. Which type of Oestrogen analysed? <p>The results :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tabulate and summarize the data in one table and one graph if possible to clarify the results, by mean and standard deviation of each group 2. How the statistical significance where calculated to compare different group results <p>The discussion :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It needs to be re-write, like explaining the rationale of the study, explanation of the results , possible causes , discuss similar work, limitations and strength 2. Last reference is important and need to be discussed in more details as it has a strong relevance to the current work 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language needs some revisions by a native speaker I think</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suzan Elsharkawy
Department, University & Country	Alexandria University, Egypt