

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_110462
Title of the Manuscript:	Consumption of Potash distorted Female Sex Hormones which may result in Gynaecological and Obstetrics Complaints
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, all the references are recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language is good just some spelling errors are there</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No hypothesis has been given for the alterations in the concentrations of hormones. There is some relationship of hormones in normal physiological state, how the feedback mechanisms are changing in the presence of potash? Write the references according to journals format (journal name in abbreviated form) Overall the manuscript has been written nicely. Contraindicated studies have not been mentioned which got opposite results to your study or all the studies related to potash has same results as yours? 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dipti Nain
Department, University & Country	Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India