

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_109827
Title of the Manuscript:	Appraisal of The Role of the Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) In Global Governance: Developing Country's Perspectives
Type of the Article	Descriptive

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This article is completely descriptive, extracted majorly from the home page of OECD. The author has explored very few research papers to validate his objectives. Quantative impact of OECD in different countries especially ,Australia, referred case study are missing. The author has made generic observations without elaborsting much on the important aspects of the main topic.</p> <p>Most suitable title should be “A descriptive study of Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) and its Role in Global Economy” as the proper methodology of appraising the role of OECD in Global Governance is missing</p> <p>Abstract is written in a very ambiguous manner. The author fails to establish relationship between the abstract and its title.</p> <p>Instead of such elaborative introduction, few subsections may be added describing the importance and significance of G20, Global Governance and its impact on the Economy. Instead of citing only Australia, few more developing countries should also be considered.</p> <p>Quantitative data are missing to validate the observations scientifically.</p> <p>The references of the reports mentioned in the article are very old. Barring two-three instances towards the concludary remarks, references are either extracted completely from the home page of OECD or very old research papers.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Average English</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Few suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Instead of elaborative description of OECD in the introduction section, more emphasis should be given on the substance of the article like instruments, standards & benchmarks established by OECD. 2) Recent developments of OECD and its contribution towards Global Governance should be cited 3) Establish the relationship between OECD and developing countries with quantative data instead of generic statements 4) More citation of research papers from scopus or renowned journals can be included instead of extracting majorly from the home page of OECD 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ca Pinky Agarwal
Department, University & Country	Itm Group of Institutions, India