

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_110031
Title of the Manuscript:	UNRAVELING MEDICATION COMPLEXITY IN THE ELDERLY: ASSESSING IMPLICATIONS FOR ADHERENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes the information provided is very beneficial especially to improve quality of prescriptions. Errors and omissions regarding prescription writing have been observed a common problem among clinicians.</p> <p>As far as title is concerned first part of tile is OK but in my opinion place of study be mentioned in title. It will make study more authentic. Second part of title should be improved. Either remove it or modify it like to improve adherence because only 18% of patients are non-adherents. Add word elderly patients instead elderly.</p> <p>Structure is very well planned and good. I found some little mistakes like type of study is not mentioned here. No ethical approval from any institute or organization has been mentioned. Kindly add name of study place.</p> <p>Study is scientifically significant and valuable.</p> <p>Numbers of references are sufficient but a few references are quite old like from 2003 etc. Author should find some close references within last 10 years if possible.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Language, statistics and writing is all appropriate.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In my opinion study is valuable and author has put a lot of effort. It has been mentioned in the study that most of the reported patients are from cardiovascular outpatient department with comorbidities. Prescription for these patients can't be restricted to 4-5 drugs in any case. So in my opinion if author should do the study scenario wise rather than selecting patients randomly from any outpatient setting to make it more specific. The suggestion provided by author in order to improve adherence are marvellous no doubt. So this study with minor modification should be published. Author has highlighted prescription and patient related issues in clinical settings. These are fruitful steps and need to be evaluated time and again to improve the quality of prescriptions and patients adherence to Prescriptions.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gul e Nazish</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Amna Inayat Medical College, Pakistan</b>