

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_110292
Title of the Manuscript:	En Evaluation of Anti-hyperlipidemic activity of Rubus idaeus on High Fat Induced Rat Model with Safety Profile Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript contains new interesting data, but it needs to be written very poorly, so it needs significant rework. The quality of the writing is poor.</p> <p>In the title, it should be presented a kind of an extract and raspberry raw material, used in the study.</p> <p>The abstract should be rewritten. The first part "Medicinal plants are a rich source of medicines So, medicinal Plant could be considered as a wide range of medicaments against several ailments. Nowadays hyperlipidemia has become a common disease and people have started suffering from this disease so badly. Many synthetic medicines are available to combat against this disease but most of those drugs possess numerous side effects. So, medicinal plants could be an alternative here." is too general. In the second part some data with numbers should be added.</p> <p>At the end of the introduction the aim of the research should be presented. The goal should be rewritten. This goal 'The goal of this research is to develop a novel, effective treatment for hyperlipidemia because currently available, synthetic medications have a lot of side effects that could cause more issues...' can't be done within the scope of this study. The goal should be specified according to the experimental results. It is almost general.</p> <p>Conclusions should correspond to the purpose of the study. Therefore, they need to be agreed. The conclusions are almost general. They need to be reworked and the results of the experimental part added.</p> <p>Doses of the extract is extremely high. If it'll be calculated to human's one, there is no perspectives for it's using.</p> <p>The references to be presented in the same way</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article should be checked one more time, especially in punctuation.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> All abbreviations in the text should be deciphered the first time they occur. It is necessary to provide information about the reagents and devices used in the study. Produce, Country of origin etc. 'The ingredients for the high fat diet were purchased from a supermarket' this information should be specified. It is also desirable to indicate the coordinates of the raw material's collection, as well as a phase, conditions of its drying and storage, etc. The extracts' preparation should be described more in details. Some phytochemical data about the extract should be presented shortly. What rats were used in the experiment? Please clarify it and the conditions of the experiment. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oleh Koshovy
Department, University & Country	National University of Pharmacy, Ukraine