

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_109535
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPROVING EFFICACY OF COTRIMOXAZOLE WITH MEDICINAL SYNTHETIC ALUMINUM-MAGNESIUM SILICATE FOR TREATMENT OF TRYPANOSOMOSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The work is of importance in the field of veterinary medicine; However, it requires a more thorough review because writing errors were detected; It is recommended to take care of the form, style, syntax and give order and coherence to what you intend to demonstrate.</p> <p>2. The title of the work seems appropriate. Although it can be improved</p> <p>3. It is suggested to improve the writing of the summary, taking care of the order of the ideas; adjust to the essential elements that it must contain, emphasizing what the work contributes (results). It is recommended that writing this section be continuous.</p> <p>4. The sections and structure are correct, except for taking care of the style. and in each of these avoid repeating information.</p> <p>5. The work can be improved both in substance (content) and in the way it is written.</p> <p>7. In the references section, those that provide similar information are required (be more specific about the topic); The style/form must be taken care of (according to the guidelines suggested by the journal), both in each of the supporting paragraphs and at the end of the list of references.</p> <p>It is recommended that the material and method section be better written and have the necessary elements for possible replication (more explicit), and contain references that support what is done.</p> <p>It is necessary for the authors to improve the structure of the Results and Discussion section, this section should be more consistent; that what was evaluated be compared with similar studies (at the regional/zone level or with other studies at the international level). Write conclusions that have been found as part of the work (avoid being a bibliographic review)</p> <p>It is necessary that the authors review and adapt the manuscript regarding the form of citation, both in each of the paragraphs they support, as well as in the list of references, (according to the journal's guide, or with the characteristics of how it should appear.)</p> <p>It is important to consider the writing - editing guidelines given by the magazine, and as an example the following conditions can be applied in the work:</p> <p>Supplementing broiler feed with 200 mg of ascorbic acid per kg boosted growth and FCR [13]. According to Sahin et al. [14], supplementing with either vitamin C or chromium led to better live weight gain, feed efficiency, and carcass traits and reduced blood corticosterone and MDA concentrations. Sujatha et al. [15] found that oxidative stress in broilers might be alleviated...</p> <p>In the manuscript some details are marked (in color - underlined), which must be taken care of, among others.</p> <p>All references must follow the following style.</p> <p>Reference to a magazine: 1.Wurl O, Obbard JP. A review of pollutants in the sea-surface microlayer (SML): a unique habitat for marine organisms. Pollut Bull. 2004;48(11-12):1016-1030. DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2004.03.016.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	Reference to a book: 2. Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK. Pharmacology. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is recommended to take care of the style of the manuscript (form and background), annotations are made on the document.	
Optional/General comments	Where available, the URLs of the references are provided. The text must comply with the style and bibliographic requirements described in the guidelines for authors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benjamin Valladares Carranza
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of the State of Mexico, México