

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_110023
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantitative Analysis and Comparative Review of Dividend Policy Dynamics within the Banking Sector: Insights from Global and U.S. Financial Data and Existing Literature
Type of the Article	Research Paper

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This research is important for the scientific community because it enhances the scientific committee's understanding of bank dividend policies, offering valuable insights that have practical implications for the banking industry, investors, and regulatory bodies.</p> <p>2. I find this title is more suitable for the article "Navigating Uncertainty: A Comparative Analysis of Bank Dividend Policies and Payments Across Global and Emerging Markets, Pre- and Post-2008 Financial Crisis".</p> <p>3. Add 1 sentence on policy implication of the study in the abstract.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and adequately articulated.</p> <p>5. The structure and analysis of the manuscript justifies being scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The sufficiency of the references in the manuscript are justified.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. There is a lack of coherence between the sentences and the paragraphs due to the language problem. It is suggested that author should do a proper editing and proofreading in order to create the coherence.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is suggested that put the figures and tables in a high resolution in order to make it clear for the reader.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zaki Ahmad
Department, University & Country	School of Economics, Finance and Banking, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia