

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_109963
Title of the Manuscript:	The Moderating Role of Checks and Balances and Monitoring Mechanisms in the Degree of Strategic Aggressiveness: A Case Study of China's Listed Software and IT Services Industry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes For Example software and information technology services industry has a high degree of technological innovation and highly competitive industry characteristics, and the internal checks and balances and supervision mechanism can avoid too impulsive decision-making, but based on the industry characteristics</p> <p>Yes, but more simple better the othe option of title Level of strategic aggressiveness and monitoring and checks and balance Mechanisms for investment efficiency</p> <p>The abstract is less comprehensive because it does not explain research methods such as sampling techniques, analysis techniques, measurement scales and research implications in theory and practice</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are good and appropriate</p> <p>I think it is correct, especially if it is strengthened by a strong problem background and adequate research references</p> <p>References are sufficient and up to date</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Renea Shinta Aminda
Department, University & Country	Ibn Khaldun Bogor University, Indonesia