

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_109963
Title of the Manuscript:	The Moderating Role of Checks and Balances and Monitoring Mechanisms in the Degree of Strategic Aggressiveness: A Case Study of China's Listed Software and IT Services Industry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The paper is essential to scientists, especially those who study corporate governance, the effectiveness of investments, and the level of strategic aggression in the software and IT services sector. It shows how checks and balances and oversight systems can help keep China's listed software and IT services industry from becoming too strong.</p> <p>2. Yes it is. It is suitable.</p> <p>3. Briefly describe the research methods, including the type of research, the data collection methods, and any statistical analyses performed.</p> <p>4. Yes. It is appropriate.</p> <p>5. Scientifically is correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and up to date</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		



**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hisar Pangaribuan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Advent Indonesia, Indonesia</b>