

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_110253
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Mycological Quality of Powdered Groundnut Cake Sold in Selected Areas in Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The Manuscript is immensely beneficial for the scientific community. Assessment of Mycological Quality of Powdered Groundnut Cake Sold in Selected Areas in Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State, Nigeria is significantly described in the manuscript.</p> <p>The Title can be reframed and more specific highlighting the entire research work in the manuscript.</p> <p>The word limit of the abstract can be rechecked with the author guidelines and as per the journal requirements.</p> <p>Yes, the structure and subsections are appropriate but the subheadings such as Conflict of Interest and Acknowledgements can also be included.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is logically and scientifically structured.</p> <p>The references are very few, some more recent years specific references can also be incorporated.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is reader friendly and suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>NIL</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Dhananjay Pandey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Govt. Naveen Girls College, India</b>