

## Original Research Article

### **Impact of nutrients and biofertilizers on stress tolerance in tomato under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> induced high temperature condition**

**ABSTRACT:** -The goal of the study was to see how different nutrients and biofertilizers affected the stress tolerance of the tomato variety Vellayani Vijay under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> induced high temperature condition. A pot culture study was done with treatments included 50 ppm B + 50 ppm Zn + water spray (T1), 75 ppm B + 75 ppm Zn (T2), POP 150 ppm N + 125 ppm P + 125 ppm K (T3), Azolla (Soil application) (T4), Azolla biofertilizer extract (20 %) (foliar application) (T5), Azolla biofertilizer extract (20%) (seed treatment) (T6), POP, KAU + PGPR1 (T7), Control (water spray) (T8), Absolute control (T9). The foliar application of Azolla biofertilizer extract (20%) was found to be effective in inducing stress tolerance in tomato plants under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition by improving the relative water content, cell membrane stability index and proline content. So it positively influenced the yield and quality of tomato under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition.

**Keywords:** Biofertilizers, Climate change, Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, Nutrients, Stress tolerance, Tomato

#### **Introduction**

Climate change refers to the long term variation in the average atmospheric condition by natural and artificial causes. Crop output may be severely impacted by this and yield of the crop are negatively impacted by these meteorological factors in one way or another, which will lower food security and create financial losses to the farmers. The increase in greenhouse gases and associated higher temperature are becoming a major threat to humanity. CO<sub>2</sub> is an important greenhouse gas influencing crop growth and development directly. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the earth's atmosphere is expected to reach nearly 411 ppm (Bhargava *et al.*, 2021) which shows an increase of around 47% within the last 150 years. One of the most remarkable impacts of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> is the increase in atmospheric temperature. The rise in atmospheric temperature affects photosynthesis and stomatal behaviour, which are central to plant C and water metabolism. Therefore growth of plants under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> leads to a large variety of secondary effects on plant physiology resulting in modifications of performance and quality of crops.

Agriculture, one of the largest sectors in Indian economy. In 2020–21, the GDP of India will include an agricultural component of roughly 19.9%. In addition, 42.6% of Indians are employed in this area. Climate change can have an impact on irrigated agriculture productivity across all agro-ecological zones, because of the rise in temperature and variations in water supply. Climate change has led to a recent fall in food output, which might have a significant impact on agriculture industry earnings. Consequently, it is imperative that we adopt the climate-resilient agriculture (CRA) strategy and also execute it more rigorously in our farming practices.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The research study was conducted at the Department of Plant Physiology, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Kerala Agricultural University, Kerala, India. The tomato variety Vellayani Vijay was used in a pot culture experiment using the Open Top Chamber (OTC) facility with increased CO<sub>2</sub> levels (500 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>). Tomato seedlings that were one month old were placed in pots, and once they had established themselves, one set was shifted inside an OTC while the other was left outside as a control. According to the Kerala Agricultural University's package of practices, planting and aftercare were carried out (Estelitta, 2016). Real-time sensors within the OTC chamber were used to measure the microclimate inside the chamber, and the outside weather was also recorded. Three replications and nine treatments were used in the experiment's CRD design. The treatments comprised of T1: 50 ppm B+50 ppm Zn+water spray, T2: 75 ppm B+75 ppm Zn, T3: POP 150% N+125% P+125%K, T4: Azolla (soil application), T5: Azolla biofertilizer extract (20%) (foliar application), T6: Azolla bio-fertilizer extract (20%) (seed treatment), T7: POP, KAU+PGPR1, T8: Control (water spray), T9: Absolute control.

#### **Azolla biofertilizer extract (20%) preparation**

1 KG of azolla was boiled with 1 litre of distilled water for 30 minutes, then this mixture is filtered using a cloth and the resultant solution gives the azolla biofertilizer extract of 100% concentration (Hanafy and Gehan, 2018). About 80% distilled water was added to this filtrate to make it 20% concentration. After transplanting, foliar spraying of Azolla bio-fertilizer extract (20%) was carried out every 15 days.

The following list of stress tolerance -related parameters were given below .

#### **Relative water content (%)**

Relative water content (RWC) was estimated as per Barr and Weatherly (1962) by measuring the fresh weight, turgid weight and dry weight of known number of leaf discs from the experimental plants. After measuring the fresh weight of the sample, it was submerged in distilled water for 3 hours and then the turgid weight was taken. The dry weight of the sample was measured after keeping the samples in oven at 80 °C for 3 consecutive days. The Relative water content was calculated using the following formula;

$$\text{Relative water content} = \frac{\text{Fresh weight} - \text{Dry weight}}{\text{Turgid weight} - \text{Dry weight}} \times 100$$

#### **Cell membrane stability index (%)**

Cell membrane stability index was estimated as per Sairam *et al.*, (1997) by taking two sets of leaf material (100mg) in test tubes containing 10 ml double distilled water. First set was heated at 40 °C for 30 minutes in a water bath and

recorded the electrical conductivity of the solution (C1). Next set was boiled at 100 ° C for 10 minutes on a boiling water bath and electrical conductivity (C2) was recorded.

Cell Membrane Stability Index =  $(1 - C1/C2) \times 100$

### **Proline content ( $\mu\text{Mg}^{-1}$ tissue)**

Prolines are precipitated as a protein-sulphosalicylic acid complex during extraction of tissue with sulphosalicylic acid. The extracted proline is made to react with ninhydrin under acidic conditions to form a red colour which is measured colorimetrically at 520 nm. 0.5 g of tissue was homogenized in a pestle and mortar with 10 ml of 3% aqueous sulphosalicylic acid and filtered through Whatman No.2 filter paper. Extraction and pooling the filtrate was repeated. To 2 ml of filtrate, 2 ml each of glacial acetic acid and ninhydrin was added and mixed. It was then kept in boiling water bath for 1 hr and then the reaction was terminated by placing on ice bath. 4 ml toluene was added and mixed vigorously for 20-30 sec. The chromophore (toluene) layer was aspirated and warmed to room temperature. The absorbance of red colour was measured at 520 nm against a reagent blank. The amount of proline in the sample was calculated using a standard curve prepared from pure proline (range 0.1- 36  $\mu$  mole) and expressed on fresh weight basis of sample.

$\mu\text{M}$  of proline / g tissue =  $\frac{(\mu\text{g proline / ml}) \times \text{ml toluene} \times 5}{115.5 \text{ g sample}}$

All the above mentioned observations were recorded at 75 days after sowing (DAS)

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Relative water content (%)**

Relative water content of tomato leaves were recorded at 75 DAS to study the effect of various nutrients and biofertilizer application and the result is presented in table 1. The treatment T5 (96.15%) showed higher value in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> at 75 DAS compared to absolute control (92.28%). The lowest value for RWC was recorded for T7 (88.17%). RWC was found to decrease in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition (91.19%) than open condition (93.12%).

### **Cell membrane stability index (%)**

The stability of leaf cell membrane under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature was assessed by measuring cell membrane stability index. The effect of application of various nutrients and biofertilizers on cell membrane stability of tomato plants were recorded and presented in table 2. At 75 DAS, the highest significant value (53.83%) was observed for

T1 and lowest for T9(37.48%). A significant increase in cell membrane stability index was noticed under CO<sub>2</sub> enriched condition (39.10%) compared to open condition (52.50%) at 75DAS.

### **Proline content ( $\mu\text{Mg}^{-1}$ tissue)**

Influence of application of various treatments on the proline content of tomatoleaves under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition was estimated and the result was presented in the table 3. At 75DAS, significantly higher proline content was recorded by T1(0.15  $\mu\text{Mg}^{-1}$ tissue). Plants kept inside OTC was found to have significantly higher proline content at 75 DAS(0.17  $\mu\text{Mg}^{-1}$  tissue) compared to open condition ( 0.06  $\mu\text{Mg}^{-1}$  tissue).

Relative water content is considered as the measure of plant water status, reflecting the metabolic activity in tissues. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and associated high temperature is having impact on the water status of plants through stomatal mechanisms and characteristics. The water status of the leaves affects a variety of physiological characteristics such as stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, photosynthetic rate, respiration as well as the growth and development. In the present study, RWC of the plants under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and ambient conditions did not show any significant difference. This was contradictory with results of Robredo *et al.* (2007) who reported that increased CO<sub>2</sub> resulted in improved RWC in barley. Among treatments foliar application of 20% Azolla biofertilizer extract improved the relative water content of tomato under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition by 4.19%. The Azolla biofertilizer extract enhanced the root formation and root length and helps in better absorption of water from deeper layers of soil thus maintaining a better water status and contributing to improved RWC.

The stability of leaf cell membrane under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature was assessed by measuring cell membrane stability index. The heat stress response (Chinnusamy *et al.*, 2004) is a complex phenomena that involves a variety of physiological changes that result in cell membrane damage. Heat-induced cell membrane damage results in membrane protein denaturation, enzyme inactivation, and changes in membrane permeability and integrity, resulting in reduced ion flux, electrolyte leakage, changes in relative water content, toxic compound production, and a general disruption of homeostasis that reduces cell viability. A decreased cell membrane stability of 25.52% was observed under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition compared to open condition. Dwivedi *et al.*, 2015 measured the membrane stability index (%) to assess the stability of the cell membrane of the plants leaf inside open top chambers under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature condition. The mean MSI (11%) was increased under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition across the cultivars while there was decline in mean MSI (14%) under elevated temperature condition. Considering the effect of various treatments on cell membrane stability index, foliar application of 20% Azolla biofertilizer extract (23.71%) and foliar application of 50ppm B+ 50 ppm Zn+ water spray(30.37% ) has showed the highest percentage increase compared to absolute control. The application of B can increase antioxidant activities of plants and alleviating heat stress induced reactive oxygen species (ROS) damage (Eisvand *et al.*, 2018) and Azolla biofertilizer extract influences the N uptake with a reduction in membrane oxidative damage (Maswada *et al.*, 2021).

## CONCLUSION

The present study indicated the improved performance of tomato variety Vellayanivijay upon exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. The impact of application of 50% extra N and 25% extra P and K (T3) each than therecommended doses as well as foliar application of B and Zn indicate the changingnutrient requirement of tomato under the current scenario of increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>concentration. The response of experimental plants to foliar application of Azolla extract (20%) strongly suggest the effectiveness of this biofertilizer in overcoming the impacts of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> induced high temperature and thereby improving the plant performance. Antioxidants being economically viable secondary metabolites, the role of Azolla in this regard can also be further exploited in different crops. The application of extra nutrients also was found to strengthen the system by improving many of the physiological stress tolerance markers like membrane stability index, chlorophyll stability index and by enhancing non enzymatic antioxidants. Recommendations based on the best treatments can be developed by extending the study to field condition since the treatments were found to improve the yield and quality even under ambient condition.

## ETHICAL APPROVAL

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

| TREATMENTS   | Open  | OTC   | Mean  |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| T1 50 ppm B + 50 ppm Zn + water spray                      | 89.67 | 92.48 | 91.08 |
| T2 75 ppm B + 75 ppm Zn                                    | 89.56 | 92.56 | 91.06 |
| T3 POP 150% N + 125 % P+ 125% K                            | 97.16 | 93.72 | 95.44 |
| T4 Azolla (Soil application)                               | 95.32 | 83.61 | 89.47 |
| T5 Azolla biofertilizer extract (20%) (Foliar application) | 95.89 | 96.41 | 96.15 |
| T6 Azolla biofertilizer extract (20%) (Seed treatment)     | 93.61 | 95.14 | 94.38 |

|                          |       |       |       |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| T7 POP, KAU + PGPR1      | 91.47 | 84.86 | 88.17 |
| T8 Control (water spray) | 96.23 | 86.43 | 91.33 |
| T9 Absolute control      | 89.12 | 95.44 | 92.28 |
| MEAN                     | 93.12 | 91.19 |       |
|                          | T     | E     | T×E   |
| SE+                      | 1.90  | 0.95  | 2.67  |
| -                        |       |       |       |
| CD                       | 0.68  | 0.34  | 0.95  |

Table 1. Effect of nutrients and biofertilizers on relative water content(%) in tomato under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition

| TREATMENTS   | Open  | OTC   | Mean  |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| T1 50 ppm B + 50 ppm Zn + water spray                      | 61.21 | 46.45 | 53.83 |
| T2 75 ppm B + 75 ppm Zn                                    | 53.14 | 44.32 | 48.73 |
| T3 POP 150% N + 125 % P+ 125% K                            | 54.01 | 44.25 | 49.13 |
| T4 Azolla (Soil application)                               | 49.21 | 39.32 | 44.27 |
| T5 Azolla biofertilizer extract (20%) (Foliar application) | 55.47 | 43.01 | 49.24 |
| T6 Azolla biofertilizer extract (20%) (Seed treatment)     | 53.51 | 37.28 | 45.39 |

|                          |       |       |       |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| T7 POP, KAU + PGPR1      | 51.01 | 35.01 | 43.01 |
| T8 Control (water spray) | 49.23 | 33.48 | 41.36 |
| T9 Absolute control      | 46.01 | 28.94 | 37.48 |
| MEAN                     | 52.50 | 39.10 |       |
|                          | T     | E     | T×E   |
| SE+                      | 0.69  | 0.25  | 1.19  |
| -                        |       |       |       |
| CD                       | 1.92  | 0.71  | 3.33  |

Table 2. Effect of nutrients and biofertilizer application on cell membrane stability index (%) of tomato under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> condition at 75 DAS

| TREATMENTS   | Open | OTC  | Mean |
|--|------|------|------|
| T1 50 ppm B + 50 ppm Zn + water spray                      | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.15 |
| T2 75 ppm B + 75 ppm Zn                                    | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.12 |
| T3 POP 150% N + 125 % P+ 125% K                            | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.13 |
| T4 Azolla (Soil application)                               | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.11 |
| T5 Azolla biofertilizer extract (20%) (Foliar application) | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.12 |
| T6 Azolla biofertilizer extract (20%) (Seed treatment)     | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.12 |

|                          |      |      |      |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|
| T7 POP, KAU + PGPR1      | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.11 |
| T8 Control (water spray) | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.11 |
| T9 Absolute control      | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.09 |
| MEAN                     | 0.06 | 0.17 |      |
|                          | T    | E    | T×E  |
| SE+<br>-                 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| CD                       | 0.03 | 0.02 | NS   |

Table 3. Effect of nutrients and biofertilizer application on proline content ( $\mu\text{M g}^{-1}$  tissue) of tomato under elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  condition at 75 DAS

## REFERENCES

- Barr, H. D. and Weatherly, P. E. 1962. A re- examination of the relative turgidity technique for estimating water deficit in leaves. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, 15:413- 428.
- Bhargava, S and Mitra, S. 2021. Elevated atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  and the future of crop plants. *Plant Breeding.*, 140(1): 1-11.
- Chinnusamy, V., Schumaker, K. and Zhu, J.K. 2004. Molecular genetic perspectives on crosstalk and specificity in abiotic stress signalling in plants. *J. of exp.l bot.*, 55(395): 225-236.
- Eisvand, H.R., Kamaei, H. and Nazarian, F. 2018. Chlorophyll fluorescence, yield and yield components of bread wheat affected by phosphate bio-fertilizer, zinc and boron under late-season heat stress. *Photosynthetic.*, 56(4): 1287- 1296.
- Estelitta, A. KAU (Kerala Agricultural University) 2016. *Package of Practices Recommendations: Crops.(15th Ed.)*. Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, 360.
- Hanafy,A. and Gehan, A.E. 2018. Role of *Azolla pinnata* biofertilizer extract in producing healthy tomatoes. *Asian J. of res. in biochem.* pp.1-8.

- Maswada, H.F., Abd El-Razek, U.A., El-Sheshtawy, A.N.A. and Mazrou, Y.S., 2021. Effect of *Azolla filiculoides* on growth, physiological and yield attributes of maize grown under water and nitrogen deficiencies. *J. of Plant Growth Regulatio.*, 40(2): 558-573.
- Robredo, A., Pérez-López, U., de la Maza, H.S., González-Moro, B., Lacuesta, M., MenaPetite,A. and Muñoz-Rueda, A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alleviates the impact of drought on barley improving water status by lowering stomatal conductance and delaying its effects on photosynthesis. *Environ. and Exp.Botany.*,pp 564-569.
- Sairam, R.K., Deshmukh, P. S., and Shukla, D. S. 1997. Tolerance of drought and temperature stress in relation to increased antioxidant enzymeactivity in wheat. *J. Agron Crop Sci.*, 178 (3): 171- 177.

UNDER PEER REVIEW