

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_110116
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF $\alpha$ -HUMULENE AND ITS NANOPARTICLES IN EXPERIMENTAL MODEL OF ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE: BEHAVIORAL ANALYSIS AND ANTI-INFLAMMATORY ACTIVITY
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. AD- Alzheimer's disease: Even though AD is a common abbreviation, it is advisable to spell out its full form when mentioning it for the first time (first line of the abstract)</p> <p>2. The results and discussion part is flooded with information already in the existing literature. It's my advice to summarise and include it in the introduction section itself.</p> <p>3. I could see the different language for a reference title, why?</p> <p>4. References are not differentiable, please give numbering to differentiate clearly.</p> <p>5. Insert the citations properly.</p> <p>6. In Methodology why do you need that many sub-headings?</p> <p>7. Maintain the same style for every subheading (3.7) some of them are not highlighted.</p> <p>8. The Visibility of Figure One should be enhanced.</p> <p>9. I could see many abbreviations, so make a separate table for abbreviation definitions to enhance clear understanding.</p> <p>10. In general, minimize redundancy and enhance clarity and conciseness.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Guguloth Naresh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indian Institute of Technology Madras, India</b>