

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_110327</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of spatial variability of soil properties micronutrient using geostatistical approach using geographic information system (GIS) Technique</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b>, "Spatial variability of soil micronutrient properties using geostatistical approach and Geographic Information System technique"</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>- <b>Title</b>: revise the title, in order to achieve a better syntax, as well as to reduce the length of the text, and avoid the repetition of the verb form using.          - <b>ABSTRACT</b>: justify the text.          - Introduction: refer to the Propositions highlighted in yellow in the first paragraph. Include more references from the last five years in this section, since there is only one.          - <b>Results and Discussion</b>: enrich the discussion with a greater number of authors from the last five years.          - <b>References</b>: the section is in the singular, when it should be in the plural. Of a total of 35 references, only one corresponds to the last five years, which is equivalent to a discreet 2.8%. Check the references highlighted in yellow (3, 17, 20, 22 and 23), as they differ from the rest in the following aspects: year in parentheses, use of the period and comma, as well as the &amp; symbol.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rigoberto Fimia Duarte</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Medical Sciences of Villa Clara, Cuba</b>