

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109574
Title of the Manuscript:	LAYERED DOUBLE HYDROXIDE (LDHs) : AN OVERVIEW
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This overview on layered double hydroxides is interesting.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive and well written, but it should have more information on the metabolic by-product of micro-organisms, the authors should give some examples.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and well defined.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. I think the references are not sufficient, and not recent enough, the most recent one is from 2002, 21 years ago. The authors should put references from 2020-2023, and at least 5.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The quality of the language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors should give a bit more information and make the article longer.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cristina Mosoarca
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Research and Development for Electrochemistry and Condensed Matter, Romania