

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_109036</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study on physiological performances and germination accompanying enzymes of seed considering some Lentil genotypes</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Poor (less in number as well as outdated)</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Fair</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Lacks clarity and thoroughness in the materials and methods section, making it challenging to understand the experimental setup.</p> <p>The report contains multiple typographical errors, which significantly affect its professionalism and readability.</p> <p>Inadequate explanation of abbreviations used throughout the report. Abbreviations should be clearly defined upon first use to ensure the reader's comprehension.</p> <p>Additionally, the results are presented ambiguously, failing to include proper units of measurement. This omission is a critical flaw, as it prevents readers from fully grasping the data and its implications. Precise and clear presentation of results is vital for a scientific report's success.</p> <p>The conclusion should be closely tied to the obtained results. It should provide a concise summary of the findings and their implications.</p> <p>It requires substantial improvements in terms of materials and methods, proofreading, abbreviation explanations, proper measurement units, and a more relevant conclusion. Addressing these issues will enhance the overall quality of the article and make it more accessible to readers.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>V. N. Meena Devi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, India</b>