

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106982
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Impact of High Density Cotton Growing System in Telangana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important in that it addresses a specific aspect of agriculture in a significant cotton-growing region and provides detailed economic insights. However, the importance of the manuscript also depends on the novelty of its findings and the quality of the research methodology, analysis, and interpretation, which should be considered when assessing its overall significance.</p> <p>The title of the article is not entirely appropriate. I would rather suggest, 'Economic Analysis of High-Density Cotton Farming Systems in Telangana: Implications for Sustainable Agriculture'.</p> <p>The abstract is too lengthy. This should be reduced. The author to note that the abstract section should not be paragraphed.</p> <p>The subsections and structure are not adequate. The author should introduce a section on literature review which will examine both theoretical and empirical literature. Whereas the theoretical literature will provide a theoretical footing for the study, the empirical literature should examine existing literature on the subject with a view to identifying gaps that this current study intends to fill.</p> <p>The scientific correctness of a manuscript is a function of several factors. The author should critically explain the methodology utilized, fully supported by relevant literature.</p> <p>References are not adequate at all since there was no section considering the literature review. This should be considered to enrich the references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The study can benefit from both grammatical and spelling editing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The tables used in the manuscript are too bogus. This should be reduced and restricted to the appendix with proper cross-referencing made to the appendix in the body of the work.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bright Onime
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria