

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_110413
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of growth characters of various genotypes of Tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.) under different environment conditions
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is crucial for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the growth characteristics of diverse tomato genotypes, aiding in the selection of varieties suited for specific environmental conditions.</p> <p>Suitable for the article.</p> <p>The abstract effectively communicates the scope and outcomes of the research.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and overall structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>May Consider incorporating additional references published after 2020, particularly those related to recent advancements in tomato breeding and advanced technology.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article's language and English quality are generally suitable for scholarly communications, with clear and coherent writing and appropriate academic terminology. However, there are areas that need minor improvements, such as addressing grammatical errors and awkward phrasing in the abstract, and revising incomplete sentences in some tables for better readability. Ensuring precise language and clear information presentation is crucial. A thorough proofreading and editing process can enhance the overall quality of the article for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Ebenesar Anna Bagyam
Department, University & Country	Karpagam Academy of Higher Education, India