

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |                                                                                                      |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology                                                   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJORRIN_109722                                                                                    |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Sensitivity and Specificity of Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (MRS) in the Diagnosis of Meningiomas |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                            |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Yes it is. It invites a reader to go through it.</p><br><p>It is comprehensive</p><br><p>Yes</p><br><p>Yes</p><br><p>yes</p>                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | <p>yes</p>                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | <p>My comments are the following. In introduction there is only one paragraph. It will not be good. If the author split into three or four paragraph, it will be more attractive</p> |                                                                                                                                                                               |

**PART 2:**

|                                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |                                                                                                                                                                               |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                       |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Belay Sitotaw Goshu</b>            |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia</b> |