

# Original Research Article

## ASSESSMENT OF GENETIC DIVERSITY IN *Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (HOCHST EX. A RICH HARMS) USING MORPHOLOGICAL MARKERS

**Comment [u1]:** Separate the specific epithet from the generic name

### ABSTRACT

African Yam Bean [*Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (L.)] as an unexploited, neglected and underutilized crop, calls for urgent attention for its breeding and improvement to avoid extinction. Fifty AYB seed samples from Idomi, Obubra, Ekoli-Edda, Abakaliki, Jos as well as IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria was assessed for genetic diversity using morphological and *rbcL* gene markers. Morphological characterization of AYB was carried out on twenty yield-related and yield traits. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), Principal Component Analysis and Clustering Analysis were done using Genstat discovery Edition 4 and PASW version 20.0 software. The results revealed low genetic variations in morphological traits like pod weight, pod length, number of leaves, days to 50 % maturity, seed length and width and days to 50% flowering in different accessions investigated. Principal Component analysis generated eight principal components accounting for 57.47 % of the total variation while the hierarchical cluster analysis revealed two major clusters without traceable pattern of clustering. The relatively low genetic variation observed in the AYB accessions indicates inter-national germplasm exchange of AYB which has been a common practice among countries in Africa and Asia. Accessions from Ekoli-Edda in cluster 2 distantly clustered away from those from Abakaliki even when they were from same state. The Principal component analysis lend credence to the analysis of variance results and stems from the low degree of morphological diversity possibly due to an interaction of genetic and environmental factors. The traits which had large loading values (pod weight and length) in the first two principal component should be considered for selection in *S. sternocarpa* breeding programme. We conclude that there was very narrow genetic diversity in the AYB collections investigated.

**Comment [u2]:** This indented paragraph is not necessary here.

**Comment [u3]:** Yam bean in not a proper noun, hence, should not be started with an upper case when written in the middle of a sentence.

**Comment [u4]:** Separate

**Comment [u5]:** The authority is "Hochst ex. A Rich Harms" and not "L."

**Comment [u6]:** Insert full stop here.

**Comment [u7]:** Recast this statement to read "Hence, there is an urgent need for its breeding and improvement to avoid extinction."

**Comment [u8]:** This was not captured in the Materials and Methods Section

**Comment [u9]:** Separate

**Comment [u10]:** Separate

**Comment [u11]:** components

### Background of the study

The alarming increase in the world's population has its direct effect on food security and sustainability which has increased the demands for food production (). Although science has made enormous strides in improving the world's ability to feed itself over the past decades, a large proportion on world population are still suffering from hunger and malnutrition (Abdulkareem *et al.*, 2015). Nearly eight hundred million people in the developing world do not have enough to eat. Many important crop

**Comment [u12]:** Moreover, although...

**Comment [u13]:** Expunge

plants native to Africa with potentials to alleviate and reduce food insecurity in the continent are mostly neglected, unimproved and under-utilized. One of such crops with unexploited potentials and quality nutritional value is *Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (Hochst ex A. Rich Harms) popularly called African yam bean (Biodiversity International, 2009).

**Comment [u14]:** underutilized

**Comment [u15]:** separate

**Comment [u16]:** BI, 2009

African yam bean (AYB) is a leguminous crop of tropical African origin belonging to the family *Fabaceae* which is the second biggest and one of the most economically important families among the dicotyledons (Abdulkareem *et al.*, 2015). African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) originates from Ethiopia and both wild and cultivated species are found in tropical Africa from northern Ethiopia (Eritrea) to Mozambique including Tanzania and Zanzibar and as far south as Zimbabwe in East Africa (Abdulkareem *et al.*, 2015). It is also cultivated throughout West African countries particularly, Nigeria, Cameroun, Ghana, Togo and Cote d'Ivoire. The crop is an annual grain legume and has a pattern of growth similar to those of other grain legumes (Ameah, 2003).

**Comment [u17]:** Remove the family name from italics

**Comment [u18]:** Separate

African Yam Bean has edible tubers and most culturally and economically important of the seven species in the genus *Sphenostylis* (Dutta, 2003). It is a minor grain legume and under-exploited (Saka *et al.*, 2004), usually cultivated in association with yam, cassava, maize and sorghum and other crops (Togun & Ejunjobi, 2007). The legume has long been used as a traditional dual seed grain and tuber food crop in Africa and it has other greater potentials (Adewale and Dumet, 2010). African yam bean has been reported as a good source of essential amino acids including cysteine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine and proline (Eneobong *et al.*, 2003). The amino acid content in African yam bean seeds is higher than those in cowpea, pigeon pea (Uguru *et al.*, 2009). Despite the nutritional benefits of African yam bean, it is faced with several production constraints. African yam bean source of protein and rich in minerals such as phosphorous, potassium and iron minerals (Ajibade *et al.*, 2005). The value of the protein in both seeds and tubers is comparatively higher than what could be obtained from other legume crops (Azeke *et al.*, 2005).

**Comment [u19]:** The initial words should be in lower case

**Comment [u20]:** underexploited

**Comment [u21]:** expunge

**Comment [u22]:** write in full

**Comment [u23]:** Separate

The utilization of African yam bean has links with the socio-cultural values of some ethnic groups within the African society. For instance, the 'Avatimes,' in Ghana prepare a special meal from it during the celebration of puberty rites of adolescent girls. Likewise, a special meal from it features during the marriage ceremony among the Ekiti people in Nigeria, pointing that the different forms of local recipes are prepared from the crop to meet the dietary needs of the people (Nnamani *et al.*, 2015).

**Comment [u24]:** ...leguminous

**Comment [u25]:** Separate

**Comment [u26]:** Close this paragraph

Unfortunately, there is a decrease in agro-biodiversity (Hadgu *et al.*, 2000a) of these species in many parts of Nigeria probably due to lack of awareness of the potential of this neglected crop, poor methods of propagation, processing, marketing and consumption of the crop (Eneobong and Okoye, 2007). Others factors include the presence of anti-nutritional factors such as oxalate, phytate, lectin, saponin, tannin, trypsin inhibitors and hydrogen cyanide (Pasoyiro *et al.* 2006) low seed yield (Saka *et al.*, 2004), hardness of the seed coat which makes high demand on the cost and time of cooking, agronomic demand for stakes and the long maturation period, presence of

**Comment [u27]:** Put a paragraph here

**Comment [u28]:**

**Comment [u29]:** Potential, poor methods of propagation, ...

**Comment [u30]:** saponins, tannins,

**Comment [u31]:** Separate

secondary metabolites (Machuka&Okeola, 2000) as well as biotic factor like insect infestation. The crop has also undergone little or no genetic improvement to boost its agronomic and nutritional qualities (NRC, 2006).

Comment [u32]: Machuka and Okeola, 2000

Comment [u33]: biotic factors

The role of physiological traits in grouping plant accession is important and cannot be overemphasized. Aremu and Ibirinde (2012), revealed that the relatively high variations observed in pods per peduncle, pod per plant, number of filled pods, number of seeds per pod and days to pod maturity were indicative of varietal differences of African yam bean accessions. With the use of morphological markers, estimates of genetic parameters across accessions will reveal the relative various characters of importance as it affects the total variation in the crop for its improvement (Govindaraj *et al.*, 2015). *Sphenostylisstenocarpa* is a crop with great potentials in agricultural productivity and food security, especially in sub-Saharan Africa. Also, its continued existence is threatened with genetic erosion and extinction of its landraces and wild relatives due to lack of proper conservation and breeding strategies. Up until now, the genetic diversity of *S. stenocarpa* remains poorly understood. Consequent upon this, an understanding of the genetic diversity and its relationship will provide the needed baseline data for efficient breeding scheme.

Comment [u34]: Separate

This research is therefore designed to provide information on the genetic diversity of 50 landraces of *S. stenocarpa* using morphological markers. This will enable proper characterisation as well as the development of proper conservation and breeding strategies for this crop.

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

### Sample collection and establishment

Fifty seeds of African Yam Bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) accessions were obtained from Cross River, Ebonyi and Plateau States, as well as International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), respectively for the study (Table 1). The experimental plot was ploughed, harrowed and ridged before planting. Three seeds of each accession were planted per stand; which was thinned to one plant per stand after seedling emergence and establishment. The sowing was in the spacing of 1 × 1 m. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with five (5) replicates. After establishment, sticks of about 3 m length were provided to support the plants as stakes at three weeks after planting. The field was kept clean by regular hand weeding with hoes (Plate 1).

Comment [u35]: yam bean

Comment [u36]: Separate

Comment [u37]: provided

TABLE 1

Accessions of *S. stenocarpa* used in the study, their origin and ecological zone

Comment [u38]: Separate appropriately

| S/N | Accessions | Origin      | Ecological zone               |
|-----|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| 1   | Idomi      | Cross River | Forest                        |
| 2   | Obubra     | Cross River | Forest                        |
| 3   | JOS31      | Plateau     | Savanna                       |
| 4   | JOS30      | Plateau     | Savanna                       |
| 5   | Abakaliki  | Ebonyi      | Forest                        |
| 6   | Ekoli-Eda  | Ebonyi      | Forest                        |
| 7   | TSs-602    | Nigeria     | Savanna                       |
| 8   | TSs-625    | Nigeria     | Savanna                       |
| 9   | TSs-561    | Nigeria     | Savanna                       |
| 10  | TSs-224    | Unknown     | Unknown                       |
| 11  | TSs-592    | Nigeria     | Forest                        |
| 12  | TSs-168    | Unknown     | Unknown                       |
| 13  | TSs-593    | Nigeria     | Forest                        |
| 14  | TSs-438    | Nigeria     | Savanna wood land             |
| 15  | TSs-69     | Nigeria     | Forest                        |
| 16  | TSs-42     | Nigeria     | Forest                        |
| 17  | TSs-591    | Nigeria     | Forest                        |
| 18  | TSs-111    | Nigeria     | Forest                        |
| 19  | TSs-581    | Nigeria     | Forest                        |
| 20  | TSs-84     | Nigeria     | Forest                        |
| 21  | TSs-571    | Nigeria     | Forest                        |
| 22  | TSs-128    | Nigeria     | Swampy with trees and grasses |
| 23  | TSs-5      | Nigeria     | Savanna                       |
| 24  | TSs-138    | Nigeria     | Savanna                       |
| 25  | TSs-46     | Nigeria     | Savanna                       |
| 26  | TSs-68     | Ghana       | Forest                        |
| 27  | TSs-55     | Nigeria     | Savanna wood land             |

**TABLE 1Contd**

| S/N | Accessions | Origin     | Ecological zone |
|-----|------------|------------|-----------------|
| 28  | TSs-155    | Nigeria    | Forest          |
| 29  | TSs-65     | Zaire      | Forest          |
| 30  | TSs-66     | Bangladesh | Forest          |
| 31  | TSs-93     | Nigeria    | Forest          |
| 32  | TSs-120    | Nigeria    | Forest          |
| 33  | TSs-430    | Nigeria    | Forest          |
| 34  | TSs-98     | Nigeria    | Forest          |
| 35  | TSs-87     | Nigeria    | Swampy area     |
| 36  | TSs-67     | Bangladesh | Savanna         |
| 37  | TSs-445    | Nigeria    | Savanna         |
| 38  | TSs-8      | Nigeria    | Savanna         |
| 39  | TSs-38     | Nigeria    | Forest          |
| 40  | TSs-130    | Nigeria    | Forest          |
| 41  | TSs-61     | Nigeria    | Savanna         |
| 42  | TSs-77     | Ghana      | Savanna         |
| 43  | TSs-45     | Nigeria    | Savanna         |
| 44  | TSs-116    | Nigeria    | Savanna         |
| 45  | TSs-12     | Nigeria    | Savanna         |
| 46  | TSs-153    | Nigeria    | Savanna         |
| 47  | TSs-19     | Nigeria    | Savanna         |
| 48  | TSs-133    | Nigeria    | Savanna         |
| 49  | TSs-30     | Nigeria    | Forest          |
| 50  | TSs-150    | Nigeria    | Forest          |



Plate 1: The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with five (5) replicates.

## Data collection

Data was collected monthly to maturity on quantitative traits. Quantitative traits were counted, measured using metric rulers or vernier caliper and weighed using weighing balance. On the field, data were recorded on the five middle plants (sampling units). Five readings were made for each of the quantitative characters per accession. All the characterizations were based on Genetic Resources Center, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (ITTA) descriptors for African Yam Bean. Data was collected on the following parameters:

- i) Days to 50 % Seedling emergence
- ii) Days to 50% flowering
- iii) Days to 50% maturity
- iv) Number of Leaves per plant
- v) Vinelength
- vi) Peduncle length
- vii) Petiole length
- viii) Terminal Leaf length
- ix) Terminal Leaf width
- x) Number of pods per Plants
- xi) Pod Length
- xii) Pod Weight
- xiii) Number of Seeds per pod
- xiv) Seed Weight per pod
- xv) Seed length
- xvi) Seed width
- xvii) Internode length
- xviii) Leaf Area
- xix) Vine diameter
- xx) Number of Branches per plant

## Data analysis

Morphological data obtained were calculated by using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test. Cluster patterns for quantitative trait in 50 accessions of AYB were generated using Ward's method. The quantitative data was subjected to principal component analysis (PCA). All analyses were done using Predictive Analytic Software (PASW) version 20.0.

**Comment [u39]:** Until

**Comment [u40]:** State the exact one that was used

**Comment [u41]:** ...yam bean

**Comment [u42]:** This should be a colon and not a semi colon

**Comment [u43]:** The initial letter should be in lower case

**Comment [u44]:** Separate

**Comment [u45]:** The initial letter should be in lower case

**Comment [u46]:** The initial letter should be in lower case

**Comment [u47]:** The initial letter should be in lower case

**Comment [u48]:** The initial letter should be in lower case

**Comment [u49]:** Seeds, it should not be written with the initial letter not a proper noun.

**Comment [u50]:** The initial letter should be in lower case

**Comment [u51]:** The initial letter should be in lower case

**Comment [u52]:** The initial letter should be in lower case

**Comment [u53]:** The initial letter should be in lower case

**Comment [u54]:** This indented paragraphing is not necessary here. Maintain a uniform style.

## RESULTS

### Analysis of variance of yield related and yield traits of AYB obtained from IITA germplasm and AYB growing regions.

Fifty accessions of AYB were characterized based on 20 morphological quantitative traits. The results show significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) in all the traits among the accessions studied except for seed width and the branch number of the plant per parameters.

The mean days to 50% seedling emergence in *S. sternocarpa* accessions evaluated ranged from 9 to 14 days. TSs591 produced the highest number of leaves (132.67) followed by TSs168 (108.33), TSs105 (105.33) while TSs65 produced the least (88.00). However, for plant height, AYB accession obtained from Idomi produced the tallest plant (91.33cm) while the shortest was observed for T593. The final leaf length showed differences that were so significant and width in most accessions investigated. There was however no significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in terminal leaf width for accessions obtained from Obubra and Idomi as they produced same loading values for terminal leaf width. Accessions TSs84 showed widest internode length, followed by TSs168, TSs571 and TSs42 while accessions obtained from Jos showed the least internode length, followed by idomi, Obubra and Jos respectively. There was no significant difference at  $P < 0.05$  among accessions obtained from AYB growing regions (Jos, Idomi, Obubra, Abakaliki and Ekoli-Edda) based on internode length.

The widest leaf area was observed in accessions obtained from Jos (57.70 cm<sup>2</sup>). TSs130 (47.00 cm<sup>2</sup>) showed the narrowest leaf area. The widest vine diameter was observed in TSs68 (1.50cm), followed by Obubra, Idomi, and Ekoli-Edda (1.33 cm), and TSs438 (1.23cm) respectively. The narrowest value for vine diameter was observed in TSs38 (0.70cm) and TSs5 (0.70cm), followed by TSs593 (8.33cm) and TSs42, respectively.

Accessions obtained from Jos (16.33) showed the highest number of branches followed Idomi (16.00) while the least number of branches was observed in TSs581, TSs84, TSs130 and TSs30 (8.00), followed by TSs593 (8.33) and TSs42 (8.35). The result obtained shows that accession TSs161 had the highest number for 50% days to flowering while TSs591 had the lowest. Accessions obtained from Ekoli-Edda produced the longest peduncle length followed by Jos 31 (14.2) and TSs602 (13.5) while accessions obtained from TSs592 had the shortest peduncle length followed by TSs65 and TSs68. Petiole length revealed that accessions obtained from Idomi and Obubra produced the highest petiole length (4.43cm) and (4.44cm) followed by TSs67 (4.2cm) while TSs42 showed the lowest petiole length (3.0cm), followed Ekoli-Edda and TSs592 (3.1cm) and T111 (3.2cm).

Accession TSs68 showed earliest days to 50% Pod maturity while Abakaliki had the latest days. Accession TSs153 produce the highest number of pods, followed by Idomi and Jos while TSs12 produced the lowest number of pods, followed by TSs591, TSs571 and Abakaliki, TSs504, TSs593, TSs66, and TSs87 respectively. For Pod length, the longest pod length was observed in Obubra and TSs161 (23 cm),

Comment [u55]: showed

Comment [u56]: Close this paragraph

Comment [u57]: Put a coma here

Comment [u58]: Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses

Comment [u59]: Put a coma here

Comment [u60]: Put a semi colon here

Comment [u61]: Write the article "the" before "same"

Comment [u62]: Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses

Comment [u63]: Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses

Comment [u64]: Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses

Comment [u65]:

Comment [u66]: Recast this statement to read "Accessions obtained from Jos) showed the highest number of branches at 16.33 followed by Idomi at 16.00

Comment [u67]: Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses

Comment [u68]: showed

Comment [u69]: Separate

Comment [u70]: Separate

Comment [u71]: Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses

Comment [u72]: Separate

Comment [u73]: Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses and also write respectively

Comment [u74]: Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses

Comment [u75]: Separate

Comment [u76]: Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses. Write followed by Ekoli\_Edda ...

Comment [u77]: pod

Comment [u78]: expunge

Comment [u79]: Separate

Comment [u80]: pod

followed by Idomi (22.33 cm) and TSs591(21cm) while the shortest pod lengths were observed in Abakaliki (9.7cm), followed by Jos (10cm) and Ekoli-Eda (10.7 cm) respectively.

**Comment [u82]:** Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses

**Comment [u83]:** Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses

Accessions obtained from Obubra showed the heaviest pod weight followed by Idomi and TSs69. The lightest pod weights were observed in TSs571(4.0g), followed by TSs84(4.1g) and TSs591(4.5g). The greatest number of seeds per pod was observed in TSs153 (17.7) followed by Idomi and TSs161(16.7), and Jos (16.33) while TSs168 showed the smallest number of seeds per plant(6.7) followed by TSs438, TSs128(7.7) and TSs93(8.0) respectively. Accessions obtained from Idomi showed the heaviest seed weight followed by Jos and Obubra while TSs42 showed the lightest weight, followed by TSs38 and TSs571for seed weight. (Table 2 and 3)

**Comment [u84]:** Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses

**Comment [u85]:** At what value ?

**Comment [u86]:** Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses

**Comment [u87]:** Separate

**Comment [u88]:** At what value ?

**Comment [u89]:** Write "at" instead of putting the values in parentheses

### Principal Component Analysis (PCA) in morphological traits of African yam bean from IITA germplasm and AYB growing regions.

The PCA of 20 quantitative traits in AYB accessions is presented in table 4. It revealed that the total cumulative variation observed in the AYB was 57.47 % where PC1 to PC8 contributed 13.55 %, 8.36 %, 7.5 %, 6.3 %, 5.99 %, 5.61 %, 5.47 % and 5.05 %, respectively. Among Parameters studied, Days to 50% flowering, days to 50% maturity, leaf number, Length of seed as well as its width, had communalities of 0.786,0.671,0.670,0.705 and 0.650 respectively thus accounting for Variation of 70 % and above. (Table 4)

**Comment [u90]:** Table

**Comment [u91]:** This statement is vague

**Comment [u92]:** Separate

### Cluster analysis of morphological characters of 50 African yam bean accessions

The dendrogram for Cluster analysis using ward's method for the fifty AYB accessions based on morphological trait revealed two major clusters. The dendrogram shows that accessions were not grouped based on location as there were inter-mixing of accessions between the different locations within the sub-clusters. The Dendrogram further revealed that cluster I contained only accessions obtained from Abakaliki while cluster 2 contained 49 accessions. Cluster 2 had two sub-clusters, denoted as cluster 2A and 2B. cluster 2A contained only one accession (Tss602) while 2B contained the remaining 48 accessions. Cluster 2Bwas further grouped into two sub-clusters, 2B<sub>1</sub> and 2B<sub>2</sub>. 2B<sub>1</sub> consist of 15 accessions while cluster 2B<sub>2</sub> consists of accessions. Revealing a phonetic analysis or phenotypic relationship within the accessions studied is shown in the Dendrogrambelow (Figure1).

**Comment [u93]:** Start the initial word of the letter a higher case

**Comment [u94]:** Separate

**Comment [u95]:** Cluster 2B<sub>1</sub>

**Comment [u96]:** Recast

**Comment [u97]:** Separate

TABLE 4  
Principal Component Analysis (PCA) in morphological traits of African yam bean

| Community                       | PC <sub>1</sub> | PC <sub>2</sub> | PC <sub>3</sub> | PC <sub>4</sub> | PC <sub>5</sub> | PC <sub>6</sub> | PC <sub>7</sub> | PC <sub>8</sub> |        |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| Eigen value                     | -               | 2.85            | 1.76            | 1.48            | 1.34            | 1.26            | 1.18            | 1.45            | 1.06   |
| Proportion of variance (%)      |                 | 13.55           | 8.36            | 7.50            | 6.37            | 5.99            | 5.61            | 5.47            | 5.05   |
| Cumulative variance (%)         |                 | 13.55           | 21.91           | 28.96           | 35.33           | 41.33           | 46.95           | 52.42           | 57.47  |
| days 50% to seedling emergence. | 0.517           | -0.079          | 0.543           | 0.330           | 0.179           | 0.057           | 0.189           | -0.178          | 0.062  |
| days to 50% flowering           | 0.786           | -0.072          | 0.161           | -0.073          | 0.217           | 0.436           | -0.061          | 0.572           | 0.426  |
| days to 50% maturity.           | 0.671           | -0.048          | -0.188          | 0.029           | 0.706           | 0.123           | 0.148           | -0.311          | 0.013  |
| No of leaves                    | 0.670           | 0.198           | 0.090           | 0.552           | 0.071           | -0.360          | 0.097           | 0.029           | 0.416  |
| Plant height(cm)                | 0.438           | 0.217           | 0.354           | 0.296           | 0.185           | -0.143          | -0.077          | 0.300           | -0.044 |
| Peduncle length(cm)             | 0.455           | 0.118           | -0.360          | -0.243          | 0.216           | 0.102           | -0.380          | 0.133           | -0.80  |
| Petiole length(cm)              | 0.608           | 0.309           | 0.431           | -0.142          | 0.232           | 0.412           | 0.074           | 0.010           | -0.278 |
| Terminal leaf length(cm)        | 0.652           | 0.228           | 0.158           | 0.359           | -0.148          | 0.046           | -0.446          | -0.447          | -0.153 |
| Terminal leaf width(cm)         | 0.560           | 0.456           | 0.208           | -0.398          | -0.253          | -0.131          | 0.024           | -0.103          | 0.239  |
| No. of pods per plant.          | 0.380           | 0.472           | 0.119           | -0.087          | -0.235          | 0.097           | -0.241          | -0.101          | -0.057 |
| Pod length(cm)                  | 0.465           | 0.108           | 0.610           | -0.120          | -0.021          | -0.037          | 0.236           | -0.067          | 0.072  |
| No. of seeds/pod                | 0.555           | 0.210           | 0.360           | 0.190           | 0.520           | -0.020          | -0.039          | -0.021          | 0.262  |
| Seed weight/pod(g)              | 0.523           | 0.592           | -0.137          | 0.120           | -0.091          | -0.331          | 0.143           | -0.016          | 0.021  |
| Seed length(mm)                 | 0.705           | 0.089           | -0.009          | 0.440           | -0.158          | 0.198           | 0.601           | 0.087           | -0.268 |
| Seed width (mm)                 | 0.650           | -0.126          | -0.178          | 0.290           | -0.218          | 0.611           | 0.144           | -0.193          | 0.198  |
| Pod Weight(g)                   | 0.540           | 0.673           | 0.143           | -0.534          | 0.171           | 0.107           | -0.165          | 0.061           | 0.227  |
| Internode length(cm)            | 0.642           | -0.643          | 0.296           | 0.013           | -0.133          | -0.328          | -0.039          | 0.096           | -0.073 |
| Leaf area(cm <sup>2</sup> )     | 0.629           | 0.502           | -0.294          | 0.021           | -0.034          | -0.038          | 0.181           | 0.342           | -0.372 |
| Vine diameter(cm)               | 0.582           | 0.569           | 0.040           | 0.230           | -0.089          | 0.120           | -0.219          | 0.069           | 0.358  |
| No. of branches                 | 0.563           | 0.274           | -0.351          | -0.417          | -0.038          | -0.181          | 0.362           | -0.064          | 0.149  |

Comment [u98]: Write "parameters" here

Comment [u99]: A key is required for PC



## DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) results of the twenty quantitative morphological **trait** studied in 50 *S.stenocarpa* as summarized in Table 2 and 3 showed significant morphological variation in all parameters evaluated except for number of branches and seed width. The variation in morphological trait among accessions may be attributed to the fact that the crop is mainly vegetative propagated in nature and perhaps **genotype environment** interactions. The result indicated a low level of morphological variation among the accessions, pointing to difficult possibilities of obtaining desirable trait combinations of specific accession. The accessions with a greater number of leaves in each plant, vine in each plant, branch number of each plant, plant height as well as least internode showed that the longer the plant the greater the chance of having many numbers of seeds. The great seed harvest observed in most of the **accessions** is as a result of the vine being very tall and the healthy way that the plant grows that encourage the making of many flowers production of large number of flowers that mature to become pods and finally seeds. This research work agrees with the report of Popoola *et al.* (2011) who revealed that accession of AYB with a greater branch number length at internode had in return a greater number of seed in each plant.

In delimitating the genetic and taxonomic relationship in crops, characters that are known to seed alone has been noted to be very essential (Maiti *et al.*, 1994; Gonzaloz Andres and Ortiz, 1995, (Obiagunu, 1997)). For AYB seeds, different parameters were seen and recorded as revealed by Adewale *et al.* (2016) in the AYB to be an important physical form traits that the AYB germplasm to stand out and be different in the population. With this research work, we took into consideration the seed width, length and weight as morphological characters to distinguishing AYB. The result revealed that accessions from Jos had the largest seeds while accessions from Idomi had the heaviest seeds. The differences in seed traits in accessions may be attributed to variations in genetic architecture of different accessions and prevailing environmental conditions. Adewale (2012) revealed that accessions with wanted scientific **agriculture** characters like how long the pod is, how many the number of seeds or pods is, not too long it takes to bring flowers and a greater percentage of seed were the distinguishing characters in AYB accession.

Generally, some local accessions performed better in all parameters studied except for days to 50% seedlings emergence, leaf number and branch number compared to other accessions from IITA, Accessions from Idomi had better performances in seed weight, plant height, actual leaf length and length of the pod, stem diameter etc. It is apparent that Idomi accession had adequately adapted to the climatic and agro-geological conditions of Cross River State.

The principal analysis component as seen in **table 4** lend credence to the analysis of variance results and stems from the low degree of morphological diversity possibly due to an interaction of genetic and environmental factors. The traits which had large loading values (pod weight and length) in the first two principal **component** should be considered for selection in *S. sternocarpa* breeding programme. This result is consistent with the work of Shittaet *al.* (2022), who reported eight principal components (PC1 to PC8) for principal component analysis in germplasm

Comment [u100]: ... traits

Comment [u101]: Separate

Comment [u102]: ..genotypic environmental

Comment [u103]: Put a coma after "accessions"

Comment [u104]: Put a coma here

Comment [u105]: (Maiti *et al.*, 1994; Gonzaloz-Andres and Ortiz, 1995; Obiagunu, 1997)

Comment [u106]: The space it too much

Comment [u107]: ...agricultural

Comment [u108]: Table 4

Comment [u109]: components

Comment [u110]: Separate

collection analysis of African Yam Bean. He further stated that PCI to PC8 accounted for 68.68% of the phenotypic variability observed in the accessions.

Cluster analysis for morphological characters revealed two major clusters for 20 quantitative morphological traits in 50 accessions (Figure 1). The morphological clustering in this research is an indication that the accessions at the genetic level are morphologically related since they were not grouped based on locations. The dendrogram further revealed that the accessions obtained from Idomi, Obubra, Jos, and Ekoli-Edda were grouped closely with some germplasm collections of IITA. It is probable that the relatively low genetic variation observed in the AYB accessions may indicate inter-national germplasm exchange of AYB which has been a common practice among countries in Africa and Asia. Accessions from Ekoli-Edda in cluster 2 distantly clustered away from those from Abakaliki even when they were from same state.

**Comment [u111]:** More related studies are needed in order to compare the results of this study.

## CONCLUSION

Fifty accessions AYB were characterized based on 20 yield related and yield morphological traits. ANOVA results revealed minimal variations in morphological traits like Pod weight, Pod length, number of leaves, days to 50 % maturity, Seed length and width and days to 50 % flowering in different accessions investigated. Principal Component Analysis of twenty morphological traits revealed eight principal components and expressed 57.47 % of the total variation observed with Pod weight and length as major contributors. Cluster analysis revealed two major clusters. Therefore, it is recommended that the use of morphological and molecular (DNA) markers to determine the extent of variability and the contributing trait among accessions will provide the needed baseline for effective characterization of this crop.

**Comment [u112]:** Separate

**Comment [u113]:** Separate

**Comment [u114]:** Pod is not a proper noun, hence, initial letter should not be written with an upper case in the middle of sentences

**Comment [u115]:** components

**Comment [u116]:** pod

**Comment [u117]:** Separate

**Comment [u118]:** Put comma

## REFERENCES

- Abdulkareem, K. A., Animasaun, D. A., Oyedeju, S. & Olabanji, O. M. (2015). Morphological characterization and variability study of African yam beans [*Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (Hoscht. Ex. A. Rich) Harms)]. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 21, 21-27.
- Adesoye, A. I. & Nnadi, N. C. (2011). Mitotic chromosome studies of some accessions of African yam bean *Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (Hochst. Ex. A. Rich.) Harm. *African Journal of Plant Science*, 5, 835-841.
- Adewale, B. D. & Dumet, D. J. (2009). African Yam Bean: a Crop with Food Security Potentials for Africa. *African Technology Development Forum*, 6(3/4), 66-71.
- Adewale, B. D. & Odoh, N. C. (2013). A Review on Genetic Resources, Diversity and Agronomy of African Yam Bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (Hochst. Ex. A. Rich.) Harms): A Potential Future Food Crop. *Sustainable Agriculture Research*, 2(1), 5-13.
- Adewale, B. D. (2011). Genetic Diversity, Stability and Reproductive Biology of African yam bean, *Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (Hochst. ex. A. Rich.) Harms. PhD Thesis, University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria. p 203.
- Adewale, B. D., Dumet, D. J., Vroh-Bi, I., Kehinde, O. B., Ojo, D. K., Adegbite, A. E. & Franco, J. (2012). Morphological diversity analysis of African yam bean and prospects for utilization in germplasm conservation and breeding. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, 59(5), 927-936.
- Adewale, B. D., Kehinde, O. B., Aremu, C. O., Popoola, J. O. & Dumet, D. J. (2010). Seed metrics for genetic and shape determinations in African yam bean [Fabaceae] (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa* Hochst. Ex. A. Rich) harms. *African Journal of Plant Science*, 4(4), 107-115.
- Adewale, B. D., Kehinde, O. B., Odu, B. O., & Dumet, D. J. (2008). *The Potentials of African Yam Bean (Sphenostylisstenocarpa) Hochst. ex. A. Rich) Harms in Nigeria: character distribution and genetic diversity*. In: Smart, J. & Haq, N. (Eds.). *New Crops and Uses: Their role in a rapidly changing world. Centre for Underutilised Crops*. RPM Print & Design: Chichester. Pp. 265-276.
- Adewale, D. & Dumet, D. (2011). Descriptors for African yam bean, *Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (Hochst ex. A. Rich.) Harms (online) Available: [http://old.iita.org/cms/articlefiles/1488-ayb\\_descriptors.pdf](http://old.iita.org/cms/articlefiles/1488-ayb_descriptors.pdf). Retrieved on the 25 February, 2023.
- Adeyeye, E.I., Oshodi, A. A. & Ipinmoroti, K. O. (1994). Functional properties of some varieties of African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) flour II. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition*, 45, 115 – 126.
- Aina, A., Garcia-Oliveira, A. L., Ilori, C., Chang, P. L., Yusuf, M., Oyatomi, O., Abberton, M. & Potter, D. (2021). Predictive genotype-phenotype relations using genetic diversity in African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (Hochst. ex. A. Rich) Harms). *BMC Plant Biology*, 21, 547.
- Ajibola, G.O. & Olapade, A. A. (2016). Physical, proximate and anti-nutritional composition of African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) seeds varieties. *Journal of Food Research*, 7, 88-95.
- Akande, S. R. (2008). Germplasm characterisation of African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) from Southwest, Nigeria. *Plant Genetic Resources News*, 154, 25-29.
- Allen, O. N. & Allen, E. K. (2007). *The leguminous. A source book of characterisation, uses and nodulation*. London: Macmillan Publishers Ltd. P 53-57.
- Ameh, G. I. (2007). Proximate and mineral composition of seed and tuber of African yam bean, *Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (Hoscht. Ex. A. Rich) Harms. *Asset Series*, 6, 1-10.
- Aremu, C. O. & Ibirinde, D. B. (2012). Biodiversity studies on accessions of African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*). *International Journal of Agricultural Resources*, 7, 78-85.
- Baiyeri, S., Uguru, M., Ogbonna, P., Samuel-Baiyeri, C.C., Okechukwu, R., Kumaga, F., Amoatey, C. (2018). Evaluation of the nutritional composition of the seeds of some selected African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*). *Agro-Science Journal of Tropical Agricultural Food and Environmental Extension*, 17, 37-44.
- Bathellier, C., Tcherkez, G., Lorimer, G. H. & Farquhar, G. D. (2018). Rubisco is not really so bad. *Plant Cell Environment*, 41, 705–716.

- Baudoin, J. P., & Mergeai, G. (2001). Yam bean *Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (Hochst.ex A. Rich.) Harms. In: R.H. Raemaekers (Eds.) Crop Production in Tropical Africa. Directorate General for International Cooperation (DGIC) Brussels., Belgium. Pp. 372-377.
- Begum, A., Mondal, S., Ferdous, Z., Zafar, M. A. & Ali, M. M. (2014). Impact of water quality parameters on monosex tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) production under pond condition. *International of Animal and Fisheries Science*, 2(1), 14-21.
- BiodiversityInternational. (2009). Neglected and Underutilized species. Accessed from [http://www.biodiversityinternational.org/scientific\\_information/themes/neglected\\_and\\_underutilized\\_species/overview.htm](http://www.biodiversityinternational.org/scientific_information/themes/neglected_and_underutilized_species/overview.htm) [25th February, 2023].
- Dukes, J. A. (2009). *Handbook of legumes of world economic importance*. New York: Plenum. Pp. 345-355.
- Ene-Obong, E. E. & Okoye, F. T. (1993). Effect of seed coat on water permeability in the AYB (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) in Nigeria. *Journal of Botany*, (6), 43-51.
- Enujiugha, V.N., Talabi, J.Y., Malomo, S.A. & Olagunju, A.I. (2012). DPPH radical scavenging capacity of phenolic extracts from African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*). *Food Nutritional Science*, 3, 7-13.
- Fasoyiro, S. B., Ajibade, S. R., Omole, A. J., Adeniyani, O. N. & Farinde, E. O. (2006). Proximate, minerals and antinutritional factors of some under-utilized grain legumes in south-western Nigeria. *Nutrition, Food and Science*, 36, 18-23.
- Genetic Resources Information Network (GRIN) (2009). GRIN taxonomy for plants. Retrieved from; <http://www.ars-grin.gov/cgi-bin/npgs/html/taxon.pl?3520#dist>. Accessed January 5, 2021.
- Gonzalez-Andres, F. Ortiz, J. M. (1995). Seed morphology of *Cytisopyllum*, *Cytisus*, *Chamaecytisus* and *Genista* (Fabaceae: Genisteae) species for characterization. *Seed Science Technology*, 23, 289-300.
- Ibeawuchi, I. I., Dialoke, S. A., Ogbede, K. O., Ihejirika, G. O., Nwokeji, E. M., Chigbundu, I. N. & Oyindo, P. (2007). Influence of yam/cassava based intercropping systems with legumes in weed suppressing and pest disease incidence reduction. *Journal of American Science*, 3, 4-49.
- Idowu, A. (2014). Development, nutrient composition and sensory properties of biscuits produced from composite flour of wheat and African yam bean. *British Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 4, 1925-1933.
- Idowu, A. (2015a). Chemical composition and sensory and pasting properties of blends of maize-African yam bean seed. *Journal of Nutrition, Health and Food Science*, 3, 1-6.
- Idowu, A.O. (2015b). Nutrient composition and sensory properties of kokoro (a Nigerian snack) made from maize and African yam bean flour blends. *International Food Research Journal*, 22, 739-744.
- Kay D. E. (2009). *Crop and product digest No. 2. Root Crops Tropical Development and Research Institute: London*. Pp. 206-222.
- Klu, G. P. (2001). Cultivation and uses of African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) in the Volta region of Ghana. *The Journal of Food Technology in Africa*, 6, 74-77.
- Klu, G.Y., Bansa, D., Kumaga, F.K., Aboagye, L.M., Benett-Lartey, S.O. & Gamedoagbao, D.K. (2000). The African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*): a neglected crop in Ghana. *West African Journal of Applied Ecology*, 4, 245-256
- Lackey, J. A. (2009). Chromosome numbers in the family phaseoleae (Fabaceae: Faboideae) and their relation to taxonomy. *American Journal of Botany*, 67, 595- 602.
- Legwaila, G. M., Mojeremane, M., Madiza, M. E., Mmototsi, M. E. & Rampart, M. (2011). Potentials of traditional food plants in rural household food security in Botswana. *Journal of Horticulture*, 3, 171-177.
- Librado, P. & Rozas, J. (2009). DNaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics*, 25, 1451-1452.

- Machuka, J. Oladapo, G. & Okeola, C. (2000). One and two-dimensional gel electrophoresis identification of African yam bean seed proteins. *Journal of Agriculture, Food and Chemistry*, 48, 2296-2299.
- Maiti, R. K., Hernandez-Pineiro, J. L. & Valdez-Marroquin, M. (1994). Seed ultra-structure and germination of some species of Cactaceae. *Fiton*, 55, 97-105.
- Mohanty, C., Verma, S., Singh, V., Khan, S., Gaur, P., Gupta, P., Nizar, A., Dikshit, N., Pattanayak, R., Shukla, A., Niranjana, A., Sahu, N., Behera, S., Rana, T. (2013). Characterization of winged bean (*Psophocarpus tetragonolobus* (L.) DC.) based on molecular, chemical and physiological parameters. *American Journal of Molecular Biology*, 3, 7-16.
- Molles, M. C. (2010). *Ecology Concepts and Applications*. McGraw-Hill Higher Learning: Columbus. Pp. 567-577
- Nnamani, C. (2015). Innovative stride to zero hunger beyond 2015 in Nigeria. *Recent Patents on Food, Nutrition & Agriculture*, 7, 14-21.
- Nnamani, C. V., Afiukwa, C. A., Oselebe, H. O., Igwe, D. O., Uhuo, C. A., Idika, K. O., Ezigbo, E., Oketa, C. N., Nwankwo, V. O., Ukwueze, C. K. & Nwaojiji, C. O. (2019). Genetic diversity of some African yam bean accessions in Ebonyi State assessed using inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers. *Journal of Underutilized Legumes Society for Underutilized Legumes*, 1(1), 20-33.
- Nnamani, C., Ajayi, S., Oselebe, H., Atkinson, C., Igboabuchi, A. & Ezigbo, E. (2017). *Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (ex. A. Rich.) harms., a fading genetic resource in a changing climate: prerequisite for conservation and sustainability. *Plant*, 6, 30.
- NRC (National Research Council), (2006). *Lost Crops of Africa: Vol II: Vegetables and Yam bean Development*, National Academies Press, Washington, D. C., USA. Pp. 322-344.
- Nwosu, J.N. (2013). Evaluation of the proximate composition and antinutritional properties of African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) using malting treatment. *International Journal of Basic Applied Science*, 2, 157-169.
- Obiagwu, C. J. (1997). Screening process for ideal food legume cover crops in the tropical ecosystem: (II) Application of selection method for grain legume crops of the Benue river basins of Nigeria (BRBN). *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 10, 15-31.
- Oboh, H., Osagie, A. & Omotosho, A. (2010). Glycemic response of some boiled legumes commonly eaten in Nigeria. *Diabetologia Croatica* 39(4), 125-131.
- Oganale, D. (2009). Nodulation and nitrogen fixation of African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*). Proceedings of African Crop Science Society Conference Supporting Food Security in Africa, September 28 – October 1, 2009.
- Ojuederie, O. B., Balogun, M. O., Fawole, I., Igwe, D. O. & Olowolafe, M. O. (2014). Assessment of the genetic diversity of African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa* Hochst ex. A. Rich. Harms) accessions using amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 13(18), 1850-1858.
- Okoye, F. I. & Eneobong, E. E. (1992). Inter-relationships between yield and yield composition African yam bean. *Tropical Land Idirstschaft Veterinary Medicine*, 2, 283-290.
- Olaposi, A. O. & Adarabioyo, M. I. (2010). Flowering, pod formation and abscission and seed yield of African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) under varied NPK application. *Agricultural Journal*, 5, 273-276.
- Olasoji, J.O., Akande, S.R. & Owolade, O.F. (2011). Genetic variability in seed quality of African yam beans (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa* Hochst. Ex A. Rich Harms). *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 6, 5848-5853.
- Olasupo, F. O., Ilori, C. O., Stanley, E. A., Owoeye, T. E. & Igwe, D. O. (2018). Genetic Analysis of Selected Mutants of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp) Using Simple Sequence Repeat and rcbL Markers. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 9, 2728-2756.
- Olasupo, F. O., Ilori, C. O., Stanley, E. A., Owoeye, T. E. & Igwe, D. O. (2018). Genetic Analysis of Selected Mutants of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp) Using Simple Sequence Repeat and rcbL Markers. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 9, 2728-2756.

**Comment [u119]:** National Research Council (2006).

- Olomitutu, O. E., Abe, A., Oyatomi, O.A., Paliwal, R. & Abberton, M.T. (2022). Assessing intraspecific variability and diversity in African yam bean landraces using agronomic traits. *Agronomy*, 12, 884-893.
- Oshodi, A. A., Ipinmoroti, K. O., Adeyeye, E. I. & Hall, G. M. (2003). *In vitro* multi enzyme digestibility of protein of six varieties of African yam bean flours. *Journal of Science, Food and Agriculture*, 69, 373-377.
- Osuagwu, A. N. & Edem, U. L. (2021). Relationship between salt (NaCl) stress and yield components in three varieties of African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*, Hoshst Ex A. Rich Harms). *Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology and Sustainable Development*, 13(1), 14-21
- Osuagwu, A. N., Chukwurah, P. N., Ekpo, I. A., Akpakpan, E. E. & Agbor, R. B. (2014). Variation, correlation and path coefficient analyses in seed yield and related characters in local accessions of African Yam Bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) from Southern Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 9(2), 211-215,
- Osuagwu, A. N., Edem, U. L. & Kalu, S. E. (2019). Evaluation of genetic diversity in aerial yam (*Diocoreabulbifera* L.) using qualitative and quantitative morphological traits. *Global Scientific Journal*, 7(11), 368-390.
- Paliwal, R., Oyatomi, O., Faloye, B. & Abberton, M. (2019). Unlocking the genetic diversity of underutilized IITA legumes. In *Society for underutilized legumes, 3rd Annual conference, 9th to 11th July 2019, IAR&T*. Ibadan: Nigeria. P. 22
- Penny, D. & Felsenstein, J. (2004). Inferring phylogenies. *System Biology*, 53(4), 669-670.
- Popoola, J. O., Adegbite, A. E., Obembe, O. O., Adewale, B. D. & Odu, B. O. (2011). Morphological intraspecific variabilities in African yam bean (AYB) (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa* Ex. A. Rich) Harms. *Scientific Research and Essay*, 6(3), 507-515.
- Potter, D. (2007). Economic botany of *Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (leguminosae). *Economic Botany*, 46(3), 262-275.
- Potter, D., (1992). Economic Botany of *Sphenostylisstenocarpa* (Leguminosae). *Economic Botany*, (46), 262-275.
- Pottier, M., Gilis, D. & Boutry, M. (2018). The Hidden Face of Rubisco. *Trends in Plant Science*, 23(5), 382-392.
- Saka, J., Olutayo, A., Akande, S. & Balogun, M. (2007). An economic evaluation of intercropping African yam bean, Kenaf and Maize in the rain forest zone of Nigeria. *Lancet*, 15(2), 66-70.
- Swofford, D. L., Olse, G. J., Waddell, P. J. & Hillis, D. M. (1996). Phylogenetic inference. In: Hillis, D M., Moritz, C. & Mable, B. K. (Eds.). *Molecular systematics*. Sunderland: Sinauer Associates. Pp. 245-315
- The International HapMap Consortium (2016). The International Hapmap Project. *Nature*, 426(6968), 789-796.
- Togun, A. D. & Olatunde, A. O. (2003). Effect of soil applied fertilizer on the growth and yield of African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*). *Nigerian Journal of Science*, 32, 43-48.
- Togun, A. O. & Egunjobi, J. K. (2007). Reproductive development and seed yield in African yam bean. *Nigerian Journal of Science*, 2, 29-35
- Uchegbu, N. (2015). Antioxidant activity of germinated African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) in Alloxan diabetic rats. *International Journal of Food and Engineering*, 9, 206-210.
- Uchegbu, N.N. (2015). Antioxidant activity of germinated African yam bean (*Sphenostylisstenocarpa*) in Alloxan diabetic rats. *International Journal of Nutrition Food Engineering*, 9, 206-210.
- Udensi, O.U., Okon, E., Ikpeme, E.V., Onung, O.O. & Ogban, F.U. (2015). Assessing Genetic Diversity in Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) Accessions Obtained from IITA, Nigeria Using Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD). *International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics*, 10, 12-22
- Udensi, U. O., Emeagi, I. L., Daniel, V. E., Tentishe, T. L., Ghosh, S. & Achilonu, C. C. (2022). Insight to the genetic diversity of pigeon pea *Cajanus cajan*(L.) Millsp. and cowpea *Vigna unguiculata*

(L.) Walp. germplasm cultivated in Nigeria based on *rbcl* gene region. *Genetic Resource and Crop Evolution*, 5, 99-107.

UNDER PEER REVIEW