

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109559
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender-Specific Education Status after Covid-19 Pandemic: A Comparative Study between Two Adjacent Subdivisions of West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This article is useful because it has compared and revealed educational opportunities in society. Especially because, the study revealed that the Covid-19 pandemic had a significant impact on the education system, particularly affected women's education.</p> <p>Because the content of this article does not discuss much about the comparison of educational discrimination during the Covid-19 pandemic, it would be better if the term Covid-19 was simply abolished. the title will be "Gender-Specific Education Status : A Comparative Study between Two Adjacent Subdivisions of West Bengal"</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive by explaining the background, research problem, methods, findings and conclusions.</p> <p>Yes, the subsection is appropriate. However, there must still be a sub-section that discusses the theory/concept used to analyze the discussion section.</p> <p>Yes, this article would be good enough if adjustments were made according to the suggestions attached to the manuscript.</p> <p>Efforts are made to use more recent references, especially those from the last 5 years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	this article would be good enough if adjustments were made according to the suggestions attached to the manuscript.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Trisnawati
Department, University & Country	Brawijaya University, Indonesia