

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_109559</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Gender-Specific Education Status after Covid-19 Pandemic: A Comparative Study between Two Adjacent Subdivisions of West Bengal</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. The paper holds significance for the scientific community due to its findings, which provide insights into the effects of the Covid-19 epidemic on education status with respect to gender. Additionally, it emphasizes the necessity of tackling gender disparities in education. The comparative analysis conducted in this study between two neighboring subdivisions offers significant insights into the determinants that impact women's education and empowerment. The study's recommendations pertaining to the expansion of awareness campaigns and the implementation of legislation aimed at providing equitable educational opportunities for girls hold significance for policymakers and scholars engaged in efforts to address and eliminate gender inequities.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article, 'Gender-Specific Education Status after Covid-19 Pandemic: A Comparative Study between Two Adjacent Subdivisions of West Bengal,' is suitable. It accurately reflects the focus of the study, which is to compare the gender-specific education status in two neighbouring subdivisions in West Bengal after the Covid-19 pandemic.</p> <p>3. As a peer reviewer, I would say that the abstract of the article is comprehensive overall. It provides a clear overview of the study's objective, methodology, and key findings. The abstract effectively highlights the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on the education system, particularly in relation to women's education. It also mentions the observation of differences between Hindu and Muslim women and the empowerment levels associated with different types of housing. The abstract effectively emphasizes the need to address gender inequalities and provides recommendations for awareness campaigns, policy implementation, and tackling issues like child marriage. However, it could benefit from providing more specific details about the methodology used in the structured interviews and the sample size of 60 adults.</p> <p>4. However, based on the given material provided, the manuscript appears to have a clear and appropriate structure, including an introduction, methodology, findings, and conclusion. The abstract provides a concise summary of the study's purpose, methodology, and key findings. But I believe theoretical debate should be engaged to ensure the study.</p> <p>5. As per my understanding, this manuscript looks sound and academic. All sections and the requirement of research design have been followed by researcher; what is only needed is to engage theoretical debate what findings.</p> <p>6. To be honest, there is problem in incitation, citation and references formatting. Please follow the single reference format APA, ASA etc.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, it looks academic.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Sharif</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Quaid I Azam University, Pakistan, Rudn University, Russia</b>