

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_110120
Title of the Manuscript:	CARBON FOOTPRINT ASSESSMENT AT HIMACHAL PRADESH UNIVERSITY SHIMLA: INSIGHT AND EVALUATION TOWARDS GREEN CAMPUS AND PROMOTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is important It aims to estimate the trend of carbon emissions, specifically the change from the lockdown years 2020–2021 to the post-lockdown years 2021–2022 and 2022–2023. By analyzing these three discrete time periods, we aim to discern how carbon footprints have evolved in response to changes in human activities, providing valuable insights into the environmental impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and its aftermath.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is appropriate</p> <p>No, the abstract of article not comprehensive, because it does not explain identification of the problem, the purpose of the method of results and for the future for researchers and partners.</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes, The reference sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In the abstract section describes the identification of the problem, the purpose of the method of results and for the future for researchers and partners, Insert objective research in the last introduction, Add suggestions for the future for researchers and partners.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ririn Regiana Dwi Satya
Department, University & Country	Indraprasta PGRI University, Indonesia