

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_107920
Title of the Manuscript:	A multi-stage optimization strategy based on fuzzy QFD and fuzzy Swara to adopt blockchain technology in the drug supply chain
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This work provides a multi-stage optimization strategy for implementing blockchain technology in the medication supply chain, utilizing Swara and QFD methodologies as well as a fuzzy method. So it is important for scientific community. 2. Title is suitable. 3. Yes abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Yes, subsection and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. Yes, references are sufficient and recent. <p>Paper proposes that tactics centered on Performance Expectancy, a long-term perspective, and major strengths are most advantageous for blockchain implementation in the drug supply chain. Effort Expectation, knowledge sharing, and Trust tactics, on the other hand, have lower scores.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Language and quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amos R
Department, University & Country	Maharaja Institution of Technology, India