

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_110324
Title of the Manuscript:	NATURE OF DAMAGE AND ITS MANAGEMENT OF FALL ARMYWORM (SPODOPTERA FRUGIPRDA) on MAIZE CROP A REVIEW
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is very relevant and important for the scientific community. The manuscript is providing practical challenges faced by farmers in crop protection specifically for maize production. Fall armyworm is one of the most damaging and problematic pests, its identification and control options availability to farmers needs emphasis and constant review, hence the relevance of the paper.</p> <p>2. Title requires re-phrasing for example: Nature and damage of fall armyworm and its management on maize crop: A review.</p> <p>3. Yes, its comprehensive but requires spell checks, coherence on the last paragraph and sentence construction requires re-doing.</p> <p>4. Yes, appropriate</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct but requires the author to increase in text citations to improve scientific correctness.</p> <p>6. References in-text are limited, increase them.</p> <p>Additional suggestions: -Introduction reads too long, shortening it is recommended -Add scouting as an investigation method</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	improve on grammar and coherence to articulate the scientific information correctly	
Optional/General comments	Very relevant and precise subject matter which adds value to the crop protection field.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Febbie Chipo Chivasa
Department, University & Country	University of Zimbabwe, Gwebi Agricultural College, Zimbabwe