

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_110220
Title of the Manuscript:	Interference of mannose and galactose in glucose assay by the glucose oxidase/oxidase method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	None	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	None	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The topic of "Interference of mannose and galactose in glucose assay by the glucose oxidase/oxidase method" is an interesting but it seems short content. However, the vital point was included in the recent work. Before accepting the publication, the small point of error needs to revise and clarify by authors.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please check all of the grammatically error in the whole manuscript and typing error. 2. The method section needs to give more detail of the assay. 3. The introduction part should be more highlighted in the significant point or pain point that why the current work needs to determine. 4. The discussion section, there is no relative of the results between of each figure. Authors try o correct the vital and compare or summarize with the outstanding point from the finding. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Utthapon Issara
Department, University & Country	Rajamangala University of Technology, Thailand