

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_110217
Title of the Manuscript:	Presentation of the multimodal radiofrequency and multilevel for the management of low back pain chronic.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>need to rephrase the title: efficacy of multilevel radiofrequency nerve ablation of lumbar median branch nerve in facet joint pain.</p> <p>Yes but multimodal approach needs to be clear in the manuscript .</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Good references</p> <p>Needs correction: Introduction- extension and extension with lumbar rotation. Mention clearly about the facet joint nerve supply and also mention on how many levels of facet joint denervation were being done in the study. It should be Central nervous system not system central nervous. Reframe the paragraph on Radiofrequency nerve ablation. Mention clearly about the sensory and motor stimulation and also mention about lesioning time and temperature. It should be mentioned as performance of diagnostic nerve block not as anaesthetic nerve block. In conclusion: what does HMREG stand for. This has not been mentioned anywhere in the manuscript before.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The sentences needs to be more clear and simple. It is quite difficult to understand at some places.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The researcher has done a good job. Just needs few corrections.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grisuna Singh
Department, University & Country	Nepal Cancer Hospital and Research Center, Nepal